

- 1 HB476
- 2 M9ACWHH-1
- 3 By Representative Stringer
- 4 RFD: Judiciary
- 5 First Read: 23-Apr-24



1 2

SYNOPSIS:

Under existing law, a person commits the crime of bail jumping in the second degree if, having been lawfully released from custody, with or without bail, upon condition that he or she will subsequently appear at a specified time and place in connection with a charge of having committed any misdemeanor or Class C felony, he or she fails to appear at that time and place.

This bill would add a Class D felony to the crime of bail jumping in the second degree.

Under existing law, the arrest and delivery of a defendant after the entry of a conditional forfeiture against the surety does not exonerate the surety unless the court finds good cause for the defendant's failure to appear.

This bill would provide that a surety is exonerated if the surety arrests and delivers the defendant following the entry of a conditional forfeiture against the surety.

Under existing law, when a defendant fails to appear in court as required by the undertaking of bail and no sufficient excuse for the failure to appear has been provided to the court, the court shall order a conditional forfeiture and show cause order against a



29	defendant	and	the	sureties	of	а	bond.

This bill would provide that a conditional forfeiture and show cause order shall be ordered by the court within 90 days of the defendant's failure to appear.

Under existing law, a court shall set aside a conditional forfeiture under specific circumstances.

This bill would provide that a conditional forfeiture shall be set aside by a court if the surety arrests a defendant and delivers the defendant to the authorized jail and the jail refuses to accept the defendant.

This bill would also provide that a conditional forfeiture shall be set aside by a court if the offense is a felony and the surety shows the governing authorities declined to enter the defendant into the National Crime Information Center database with nationwide extradition or declined to proceed with extradition.

50 A BILL

51 TO BE ENTITLED

52 AN ACT

Relating to crimes and offenses; to amend Section 13A-10-40, Code of Alabama 1975; to further provide for the crime of bail jumping in the second degree; to amend Sections



- 57 15-13-118, 15-13-131, and 15-13-138, Code of Alabama 1975, as
- last amended by Act 2023-476, 2023 Regular Session; to further
- 59 provide for the exoneration of sureties; to further provide
- for court orders following failure to appear; and to further
- 61 provide for conditional forfeitures.
- 62 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA:
- Section 1. Section 13A-10-40, Code of Alabama 1975, is
- 64 amended to read as follows:
- 65 "\$13A-10-40
- 66 (a) A person commits the crime of bail jumping in the
- 67 second degree if, having been lawfully released from custody,
- 68 with or without bail, upon condition that he or she will
- subsequently appear at a specified time and place in
- 70 connection with a charge of his having committed any
- 71 misdemeanor, Class D felony, or Class C felony, he or she
- 72 fails to appear at that time and place.
- 73 (b) It is a defense to prosecution under this section
- 74 that the defendant's failure to appear was unintentional or
- 75 was unavoidable and due to circumstances beyond his or her
- 76 control. The burden of injecting the defense of an
- 77 unintentional failure to appear, or unavoidability and
- 78 circumstances beyond his or her control, is on the defendant.
- 79 (c) This section does not apply to a person released
- 80 from custody on condition that he or she will appear in
- 81 connection with a charge of having committed a misdemeanor in
- 82 violation of Title 32 of this Code.
- 83 (d) Bail jumping in the second degree is a Class A
- 84 misdemeanor."

SAL OF ALABAMA

HB476 INTRODUCED

- 85 Section 2. Sections 15-13-118, 15-13-131, and
 86 15-13-138, Code of Alabama 1975, as last amended by Act
 87 2023-476, 2023 Regular Session, are amended to read as
 88 follows:
- **"**\$15-13-118

After the entry of a conditional forfeiture against any surety on an undertaking of bail, the surety may arrest the defendant as provided in Section 15-13-117, and the arrest and delivery of the defendant to the authorized jail as stated in Section 15-13-117 shall not exonerate the surety unless, in the judgment of the court, a good and sufficient cause is given for the failure of the defendant to appear at the time the conditional judgement was entered."

98 "\$15-13-131

(a) When a defendant fails to appear in court as required by the undertaking of bail and no sufficient excuse has been provided to the court prior to the hearing, the court shall order a conditional forfeiture and show cause order against the defendant and the sureties of the bail within 90 days of the defendant's failure to appear. The court shall notify the defendant and the sureties of the order as set out in this article. The defendant or, sureties, or both, shall file a written response with the clerk of the court within 30 days after the date of service of the notice why the bond should not be forfeited. If a written response is filed within the time allowed and the court is of the opinion the written response is sufficient, the court shall set aside the conditional forfeiture. If the court is of the opinion the



113	written response is not sufficient, the court shall set a
114	hearing to determine whether the bond should be forfeited. The
115	hearing shall not be set less than 120 days after the service
116	of the conditional forfeiture order. If no written response
117	has been filed after 30 days from the date of service of the
118	notice, the court may enter an appropriate order or final
119	judgment forfeiting all or part of the amount of the bond
120	which shall be enforceable as any civil judgment. The court
121	may take into consideration the circumstances provided to the
122	court and continue any final forfeiture hearing to another day
123	and time allowing the sureties more time to apprehend the
124	defendant.
125	(b) When an undertaking of bail is forfeited by the
126	failure of the defendant to appear as required, except when
127	money is deposited as cash bail, a conditional judgment shall
128	be rendered by the court in favor of the state or its
129	subdivisions, for the use of the proper city, county, or
130	state, against the parties to the undertaking for the sum
131	thereon expressed, which judgment may be substantially as
132	follows:
133	(State of or City of) Charge:vs Case No.
134	A.B C.D E.F.
135	(Sureties)
136	It being known to the court that A.B., together with
137	(Sureties), agreed to pay the State of Alabama (or City
138	of,) dollars (the sum specified in the
139	undertaking), unless A.B. appeared at the time and place
140	mentioned and fixed in the bond or undertaking to answer in

OF ALAULA

HB476 INTRODUCED

141	this case and A.B. having failed to appear at the time and
142	place mentioned in the bond or undertaking, it is therefore
143	ordered by the court that the State of Alabama (or City of
144	,) for the use of State (or City), recover of the
145	defendant and sureties on the undertakings, the sum of
146	dollars (the sum specified in the undertaking), unless they
147	file a written response and show cause why this judgment
148	should not be made absolute within 30 days after the date of
149	service of this conditional forfeiture order.

- (c) The state shall remit one-half of the funds it receives under subsections (a) and (b) to the county in which the defendant was charged. The funds shall be deposited into the general fund of the county and used for the maintenance and operation of the county jail."
- 155 "\$15-13-138

The court shall set aside the conditional forfeiture in its entirety for the following reasons or under the following circumstances:

(1) If the sureties can show that the defendant was hospitalized at the time he or she was to appear in court, or if the sureties can produce sufficient evidence that the defendant was not able to attend court for reason of illness, by producing a doctor's certificate or letter to that effect. The hospitalization may be in or out of this state. For the sureties to take advantage of this subdivision, they shall put the court on notice that the situation exists either prior to the issuance of the conditional forfeiture order or within 30 days after legal service of the conditional forfeiture on the

OF ALAUTHA

HB476 INTRODUCED

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

sureties. After receiving notice, the court may continue the case to a future date it deems proper and just for the defendant to appear. If at that time the defendant is still not able to attend court for the same reason, then it shall be the burden of the sureties to produce the evidence within the same prescribed time. This section does not bar the court from the issuance of a bench warrant for the defendant in cases where the court feels that documents of proof do not reflect the truth, or where the court has reason to believe the defendant may appear and he or she is using the documents of proof as an excuse to avoid appearance.

(2) If the sureties show that the defendant was confined in jail or in the custody of another jurisdiction in this state or any other state, at the time of his or her original appearance or on the date of the issuance of the conditional forfeiture order, or if the surety shows that the defendant is still confined in any jail in this state or any other state, or in the custody of another jurisdiction within this state or any other state, or in the custody of another jurisdiction within the continental United States, including United States federal jurisdiction, the court shall set aside the conditional forfeiture and continue the case until a time after the end of that confinement. If the court later learns that the defendant is free from confinement before the confinement was supposed to end, then the court, with notice to the sureties, may reset the case and the burden shall be on the sureties to produce the defendant for the hearing or the court may issue another conditional forfeiture.

THE SERVICE

HB476 INTRODUCED

197	(3) If the sureties show the defendant is deceased.
198	(4) If the sureties show the defendant was serving on
199	active duty in one of the military services of the United
200	States.
201	(5) If the sureties arrest the defendant and deliver
202	the defendant to the authorized jail and the jail refuses to
203	accept the defendant.
204	(6) If the sureties show that the governing authorities
205	declined to enter the defendant into the National Crime
206	Information Center database with nationwide extradition or
207	declined to proceed with extradition. This subdivision shall
208	only apply to bail involving a felony. The court may include
209	the cost of extradition as a court cost upon the disposition
210	of the case."
211	Section 2. Section 3. This act shall become effective on

212 October 1, 2024.

Page 8