1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to defamation, false light, and 3 unauthorized publication of name or likenesses; 4 amending s. 770.02, F.S.; requiring that certain 5 articles or broadcasts be removed from the Internet 6 within a specified period to limit damages for 7 defamation; amending s. 770.04, F.S.; providing 8 persons in certain positions relating to newspapers 9 with immunity for defamation if such persons exercise due care to prevent utterance of such a statement; 10 11 requiring removal of defamatory statements from the 12 Internet in certain circumstances; amending s. 770.05, 13 F.S.; providing a definition; providing venue for 14 damages for a defamation or privacy tort based on 15 material broadcast over radio or television; providing 16 venue for damages for a defamation or privacy tort 17 based on material published, exhibited, or uttered on 18 the Internet; providing for award of attorney fees and 19 damages due to plaintiff's choice of venue in certain circumstances; creating s. 770.107, F.S.; providing 20 21 for a motion for a veracity hearing in a defamation or 22 privacy tort action; specifying determinations to be 23 made on such a motion; providing a timeframe for a 24 hearing; limiting the court's review of such a motion; specifying that a certain finding may not be made in 25

Page 1 of 11

CS/CS/HB 757 2024

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

ruling on such a motion; providing for award of attorney fees in certain circumstances; creating s. 770.11, F.S.; providing a rebuttable presumption that a publisher of a false statement acted with actual malice in certain circumstances; creating s. 770.15, F.S.; providing a definition; providing that a person who uses artificial intelligence to create or edit any form of media in a certain manner is subject to liability in certain circumstances; incorporating certain standards; reenacting ss. 770.06, 770.07, and 770.08, F.S., relating to adverse judgment in any jurisdiction as a bar to additional action, cause of action and time of accrual, and limitation on recovery of damages, respectively, to incorporate the amendment made to s. 770.05, F.S., in references thereto; providing severability; providing an effective date. Section 1.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 770.02, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 770.02 Correction, apology, or retraction by newspaper or broadcast station.-
- If it appears upon the trial that said article or broadcast was published in good faith; that its falsity was due

Page 2 of 11

to an honest mistake of the facts; that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the statements in said article or broadcast were true; and that, within the period of time specified in subsection (2), a full and fair correction, apology, or retraction was, in the case of a newspaper or periodical, published in the same editions or corresponding issues of the newspaper or periodical in which said article appeared and in as conspicuous place and type as said original article or, in the case of a broadcast, the correction, apology, or retraction was broadcast at a comparable time, then the plaintiff in such case shall recover only actual damages.

- (2) Full and fair correction, apology, or retraction shall be made:
- (a) In the case of a broadcast or a daily or weekly newspaper or periodical, within 10 days after service of notice. \div
- (b) In the case of a newspaper or periodical published semimonthly, within 20 days after service of notice \div
- (c) In the case of a newspaper or periodical published monthly, within 45 days after service of notice: and
- (d) In the case of a newspaper or periodical published less frequently than monthly, in the next issue, provided notice is served no later than 45 days prior to such publication.
- (3) For purposes of this section, in order to limit recovery to actual damages as provided in this section, when

Page 3 of 11

such an article or a broadcast has been published on the Internet, the article or broadcast must either be:

- (a) Permanently removed from the Internet within the time period provided in paragraph (2)(a); or
- (b) Retracted or corrected within the time period provided in paragraph (2)(a) and a notation must be placed on the headline and at the beginning of the article, in type font as large or larger than the article's, stating the retraction or correction was made and what was retracted or corrected.

Section 2. Section 770.04, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 770.04 Civil liability of <u>certain media outlets</u> radio or television broadcasting stations; care to prevent publication or utterance required.—
- (1) The owner, licensee, or operator of a radio or television broadcasting station or a newspaper, and the agents or employees of any such owner, licensee, or operator, shall not be liable for any damages for any defamatory statement published or uttered in or as a part of a radio or television broadcast or newspaper article, by one other than such owner, licensee, or operator, or general agent or employees thereof, unless it is shall be alleged and proved by the complaining party, that such owner, licensee, operator, general agent, or employee, has failed to exercise due care to prevent the publication or utterance of such statement in such broadcasts or newspaper

Page 4 of 11

<u>articles</u>, provided, however, the exercise of due care shall be construed to include the bona fide compliance with any federal law or the regulation of any federal regulatory agency.

- (2) When an owner, a licensee, or an operator described in subsection (1) publishes a defamatory statement on the Internet with no knowledge of falsity of the statement and thereafter receives notice that such statement has been found in a judicial proceeding to be false, or receives notice of facts that would cause a reasonable person to conclude that such statement was false, and the owner, licensee, or operator fails to take reasonable steps to permanently remove the statement and any related report from the Internet or correct the statement as prescribed in s. 770.02(3), the continued appearance of such statement or report on the Internet after the notice shall be a new publication for purpose of the statute of limitations, and the owner, licensee, or operator shall not be entitled to a fair reporting privilege for such new publication.
- Section 3. Section 770.05, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 770.05 Limitation of choice of venue.-
- (1) As used in this chapter, the term "defamation or privacy tort" means libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or any other tort founded upon any single publication, exhibition, or utterance, such as any one edition of a newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation to an audience, any one broadcast

Page 5 of 11

over radio or television, any one exhibition of a motion
picture, or any one publication, exhibition, or utterance on the
Internet.

- (2) A No person may not shall have more than one choice of venue for damages for a defamation or privacy tort libel or slander, invasion of privacy, or any other tort founded upon any single publication, exhibition, or utterance, such as any one edition of a newspaper, book, or magazine, any one presentation to an audience, any one broadcast over radio or television, or any one exhibition of a motion picture. Recovery in any action shall include all damages for any such tort suffered by the plaintiff in all jurisdictions.
- (3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, or any other statute providing for venue, when:
- (a) Damages for a defamation or privacy tort are based on material broadcast over radio or television, venue is proper in any county in which the material was accessed and in which a plaintiff reasonably suffered damages as a result of the broadcast.
- (b) Damages for a defamation or privacy tort are based on material published, exhibited, or uttered on the Internet, venue is proper in any county in the state in which a plaintiff reasonably suffered damages as a result of the publication.
- (c) A plaintiff may not bring an action for a defamation or privacy tort in a venue that does not possess a reasonable

Page 6 of 11

151	connection to the material circumstances related to the cause of
152	action.
153	(4) Upon the court's initiative or motion of any party,
154	the court shall award reasonable attorney fees and damages to be
155	paid to the defendant in equal amounts by the plaintiff and the
156	plaintiff's attorney if a plaintiff's choice of venue is
157	determined to possess no reasonable connection to the material
158	circumstances related to the cause of action or the plaintiff's
159	choice of venue is determined to have been sought for the
160	purposes of harassment or other vexatious purpose.
161	Section 4. Section 770.107, Florida Statutes, is created
162	to read:
163	770.107 Veracity hearings in defamation or privacy tort
L64	actions.—
165	(1)(a) Upon motion by any party to a cause of action
166	brought under this chapter, the court shall conduct a hearing to
167	determine the following:
168	1. Whether any material statement that constitutes the
169	basis for the cause of action is a statement of fact or an
L70	opinion.
171	2. The veracity of any statement of fact that constitutes
172	the basis for the cause of action.
173	(b) The court shall grant such motion if the movant shows
L74	there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact regarding
175	the subject of the metion

Page 7 of 11

176	(2) Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the court
177	shall hear the motion within 60 days after service of the
178	motion.
179	(3) The court's review of the motion shall be limited
180	solely to determining whether a statement is a statement of fact
181	or an opinion and the veracity of the statement of fact at issue
182	in the underlying cause of action.
183	(4) In ruling upon a motion for determination of veracity,
184	the court shall issue no findings regarding the following
185	matters at issue in the underlying cause of action:
186	(a) Whether the statement of fact constitutes defamation
187	per se, defamation per quod, or a privacy tort;
188	(b) Whether the plaintiff in the cause of action qualifies
189	as a public figure or limited public figure; or
190	(c) Whether the defendant in the cause of action acted
191	negligently, recklessly, intentionally, or with actual malice.
192	(5) The court shall assess against the nonprevailing party
193	the reasonable attorney fees and costs associated with the
194	hearing.
195	Section 5. Section 770.11, Florida Statutes, is created to
196	read:
197	770.11 Presumption regarding anonymous sources when the
198	statement made about a public figure is falseIf a public
199	figure plaintiff can establish that a published statement is
200	false and that the publisher relied on an anonymous source for

Page 8 of 11

201	the statement, there is a rebuttable presumption that the
202	publisher acted with actual malice in publishing the statement.
203	Section 6. Section 770.15, Florida Statutes, is created to
204	read:
205	770.15 Using artificial intelligence to place person in
206	<pre>false light</pre>
207	(1) As used in this section, the term "artificial
208	intelligence" means a machine-based system that, for explicit or
209	implicit objectives, infers, from the input the system receives,
210	how to generate outputs such as predictions, content,
211	recommendations, or decisions that can influence physical or
212	virtual environments. Different artificial intelligence systems
213	vary in the levels of autonomy and adaptiveness after
214	deployment.
215	(2) A person who intentionally uses artificial
216	intelligence to create or edit any form of media so that it
217	attributes something false to or leads a reasonable viewer to
218	believe something false about another person is subject to
219	liability if all of the following apply:
220	(a) The media is published, distributed, or otherwise
221	placed before the public.
222	(b) The false light in which the other person was placed
223	would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.
224	(c) The person creating or editing the media had actual
225	knowledge of or acted in reckless disregard as to the false

Page 9 of 11

226 implications of the media.

(3) This section incorporates the standards set forth under chapter 770 for defamation causes of action to the extent necessary.

Section 7. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 770.05, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, section 770.06, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

770.06 Adverse judgment in any jurisdiction a bar to additional action.—A judgment in any jurisdiction for or against the plaintiff upon the substantive merits of any action for damages founded upon a single publication or exhibition or utterance as described in s. 770.05 shall bar any other action for damages by the same plaintiff against the same defendant founded upon the same publication or exhibition or utterance.

Section 8. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 770.05, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, section 770.07, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

770.07 Cause of action, time of accrual.—The cause of action for damages founded upon a single publication or exhibition or utterance, as described in s. 770.05, shall be deemed to have accrued at the time of the first publication or exhibition or utterance thereof in this state.

Section 9. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment

Page 10 of 11

made by this act to section 770.05, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, section 770.08, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

770.08 Limitation on recovery of damages.—No person shall have more than one choice of venue for damages for libel founded upon a single publication or exhibition or utterance, as described in s. 770.05, and upon his or her election in any one of his or her choices of venue, then the person shall be bound to recover there all damages allowed him or her.

Section 10. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 11. This act shall take effect July 1, 2024.

Page 11 of 11