HOUSE BILL No. 1423

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

Citations Affected: IC 31-9-2; IC 31-14; IC 31-17.

Synopsis: Parent-child relationship. Provides that if a court in a paternity or child custody proceeding does not award joint legal custody or joint physical custody of a child, the court shall enter findings of fact and conclusions of law citing a preponderance of evidence that awarding joint legal custody or joint physical custody is unreasonable and not in the best interest of the child. Provides for a court in a proceeding to modify custody to consider any substantial changes in the facts underlying a previous court decision not to award joint legal custody or joint physical custody. Provides that in allocating parenting time, there is a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for parenting time to be allocated equally or nearly equally between the child's custodial parent and the child's noncustodial parent. Provides that a finding by the court that a history of child abuse or neglect exists with respect to the child is sufficient to rebut the presumption.

Effective: July 1, 2024.

Judy, Davis, McGuire, VanNatter

January 16, 2024, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.



Second Regular Session of the 123rd General Assembly (2024)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2023 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

HOUSE BILL No. 1423

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. IC 31-9-2-67, AS AMENDED BY P.L.95-2009,
SECTION 1, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 67. "Joint legal custody", for purposes of
IC 31-14-13, IC 31-17-2-13, IC 31-17-2-14, and IC 31-17-2-15, means
that the persons awarded joint custody will share authority and
responsibility for the major decisions concerning the child's
upbringing, including the child's education, health care, and religious
training.

SECTION 2. IC 31-9-2-67.2 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 67.2. "Joint physical custody", for purposes of IC 31-14-13 and IC 31-17-2, means physical custody of a child allocated equally or nearly equally between the child's parents or custodians.

SECTION 3. IC 31-14-13-2.3, AS ADDED BY P.L.95-2009, SECTION 2, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 2.3. (a) In a proceeding to which this chapter



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	applies, the court may award legal custody of a child jointly if the court
2	finds that an award of joint legal custody would be in the best interest
3	of the child.
4	(b) An award of joint legal custody under this section does not
5	require an equal division of physical custody of the child.
6	(c) (b) In determining whether an award of joint legal custody under
7	this section would be in the best interest of the child, the court shall
8	consider: it a matter of primary, but not determinative, importance that
9	the persons awarded joint legal custody have agreed to an award of
10	joint legal custody. The court shall also consider:
11	(1) the fitness and suitability of each of the persons awarded joint
12	legal custody;
13	(2) whether the persons awarded joint legal custody are willing
14	and able to communicate and cooperate in advancing the child's
15	welfare;
16	(3) the wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
17	child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age;
18	(4) whether the child has established a close and beneficial
19	relationship with both of the persons awarded joint legal custody;
20	(5) whether the persons awarded joint legal custody:
21	(A) live in close proximity to each other; and
22	(B) plan to continue to do so;
23	(6) the nature of the physical and emotional environment in the
24	home of each of the persons awarded joint legal custody; and
25	(7) the mental and physical health of all individuals involved,
26	including whether there is a pattern of domestic or family
27	violence; and
28	(8) the needs of the child for a frequent, continuing, and
29	meaningful relationship with both parents and the ability and
30	willingness of both parents to actively perform their functions
31	as mother and father for the needs of the child.
32	(c) If the court does not award joint legal custody or joint
33	physical custody of the child, the court shall enter findings of fact
34	and conclusions of law citing a preponderance of evidence that
35	awarding joint legal custody or joint physical custody is
36	unreasonable and not in the best interest of the child.
37	SECTION 4. IC 31-14-13-6 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
38	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 6. The court may not
39	modify a child custody order unless:
40	(1) modification is in the best interests of the child; and
41	(2) there is a substantial change in:
42	(A) one (1) or more of the factors that the court may consider



1	under sections 2, 2.3(b), and, if applicable, section 2.5
2	of this chapter; and
3	(B) if applicable, the facts underlying the court's finding of
4	a preponderance of evidence under section 2.3(c) of this
5	chapter.
6	SECTION 5. IC 31-14-13-9 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
7	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 9. In a proceeding for
8	a custody modification, the court may not hear evidence on a matter
9	occurring before the last custody proceeding between the parties unless
10	the matter relates to a change in the factors relating to the best interests
11	of the child as described in section 2 and, if applicable, section 2.5 of
12	this chapter. described in section 6(2) of this chapter.
13	SECTION 6. IC 31-14-14-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.223-2019,
14	SECTION 1, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
15	JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 1. (a) A noncustodial parent is entitled to
16	reasonable parenting time rights unless the court finds, after a hearing,
17	that parenting time might:
18	(1) endanger the child's physical health and well-being; or
19	(2) significantly impair the child's emotional development.
20	(b) The court may interview the child in chambers to assist the court
21	in determining the child's perception of whether parenting time by the
22	noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or
23	significantly impair the child's emotional development.
24	(c) In a hearing under subsection (a), there is a rebuttable
25	presumption that a person who has been convicted of:
26	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
27	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
28	might endanger the child's physical health and well-being or
29	significantly impair the child's emotional development.
30	(d) Except as provided in subsection (e), if a court grants parenting
31	time rights to a person who has been convicted of:
32	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
33	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
34	there is a rebuttable presumption that the parenting time with the child
35	must be supervised.
36	(e) If a court grants parenting time rights to a person who has been
37	convicted of:
38	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
39	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
40	within the previous five (5) years, the court shall order that the
41	parenting time with the child must be supervised.
42	(f) The court may permit counsel to be present at the interview. If



counsel is present:

- (1) a record may be made of the interview; and
- (2) the interview may be made part of the record for purposes of appeal.
- (g) If the court does not make a finding that parenting time by the noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health and well-being or significantly impair the child's emotional development as described in subsection (a), there is a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for parenting time to be allocated equally or nearly equally between the child's custodial parent and the child's noncustodial parent. A finding by the court that a history of child abuse or neglect exists with respect to the child is sufficient to rebut the presumption under this subsection.

SECTION 7. IC 31-17-2-13 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 13. (a) The court may award legal custody of a child jointly if the court finds that an award of joint legal custody would be in the best interest of the child.

(b) If the court does not award joint legal custody or joint physical custody of the child, the court shall enter findings of fact and conclusions of law citing a preponderance of evidence that awarding joint legal custody or joint physical custody is unreasonable and not in the best interest of the child.

SECTION 8. IC 31-17-2-14 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]. Sec. 14. An award of joint legal custody under section 13 of this chapter does not require an equal division of physical custody of the child.

SECTION 9. IC 31-17-2-15, AS AMENDED BY P.L.3-2008, SECTION 237, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 15. In determining whether an award of joint legal custody under section 13 of this chapter would be in the best interest of the child, the court shall consider: it a matter of primary, but not determinative, importance that the persons awarded joint custody have agreed to an award of joint legal custody. The court shall also consider:

- (1) the fitness and suitability of each of the persons awarded joint custody;
- (2) whether the persons awarded joint custody are willing and able to communicate and cooperate in advancing the child's welfare:
- (3) the wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age;



(4) whether the child has established a close and beneficial
relationship with both of the persons awarded joint custody;
(5) whether the persons awarded joint custody:
(A) live in close proximity to each other; and
(B) plan to continue to do so; and
(6) the nature of the physical and emotional environment in the
home of each of the persons awarded joint custody.
SECTION 10. IC 31-17-2-21 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 21. (a) The court may
not modify a child custody order unless:
(1) the modification is in the best interests of the child; and
(2) there is a substantial change in:
(A) one (1) or more of the factors that the court may consider
under sections 8, 15, and, if applicable, section 8.5 of
this chapter; and
(B) if applicable, the facts underlying the evidence cited by
the court under section 13(b) of this chapter.
(b) In making its determination, the court shall consider the factors
listed under section 8 of this chapter.
(c) The court shall not hear evidence on a matter occurring before
the last custody proceeding between the parties unless the matter
relates to a change in the factors relating to the best interests of the
child as described by section 8 and, if applicable, section 8.5 of this
chapter. described in subsection (a)(2).
SECTION 11. IC 31-17-4-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.146-2021,
SECTION 7, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
JULY 1, 2024]: Sec. 1. (a) Subject to subsections (d) and (e) and
subject to section 1.1 of this chapter, a parent not granted custody of
the child is entitled to reasonable parenting time rights unless the court
finds, after a hearing, that parenting time by the noncustodial parent
might endanger the child's physical health or significantly impair the
child's emotional development.
(b) The court may interview the child in chambers to assist the court
in determining the child's perception of whether parenting time by the
noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or
significantly impair the child's emotional development.
(c) The court may permit counsel to be present at the interview. If
counsel is present:
(1) a record may be made of the interview; and
(2) the interview may be made part of the record for purposes of
appeal.
(d) Except as provided in subsection (e), if a court grants parenting



1	time rights to a person who has been convicted of:
2	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
3	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
4	there is a rebuttable presumption that the parenting time with the child
5	must be supervised.
6	(e) If a court grants parenting time rights to a person who has been
7	convicted of:
8	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
9	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
10	within the previous five (5) years, the court shall order that the
11	parenting time with the child must be supervised.
12	(f) If the court does not make a finding that parenting time by
13	the noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health
14	or significantly impair the child's emotional development as
15	described in subsection (a), there is a rebuttable presumption that

it is in the best interests of the child for parenting time to be

allocated equally or nearly equally between the child's custodial

parent and the child's noncustodial parent. A finding by the court

that a history of child abuse or neglect exists with respect to the

child is sufficient to rebut the presumption under this subsection.



16 17

18

19