HOUSE DOCKET, NO. 2016 FILED ON: 1/15/2015 HOUSE DOCKET, NO. 2016 FILED ON: 1/15/2015

Th	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	
	PRESENTED BY:	
	Stephen Kulik	
To the Honorable Senate and H Court assembled:	use of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General	
The undersigned legisl	ors and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompany	ing bill:
An Act relative to adm	istering national standards to Medicaid medical necessity revi	iews.
	PETITION OF:	
Name:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	
Stephen Kulik	1st Franklin	

HOUSE No. 1006

By Mr. Kulik of Worthington, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1006) of Stephen Kulik relative to administering national standards to Medicaid medical necessity reviews. Health Care Financing.

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 1034 OF 2013-2014.]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court (2015-2016)

An Act relative to administering national standards to Medicaid medical necessity reviews.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 8 of chapter 118E of the General Laws, as appearing in the 2012
- 2 Official Edition, is hereby amended by inserting the following new definitions:
- 3 "Adverse determination", a determination from a clinical peer reviewer, based upon a
- 4 review of information provided by a healthcare provider, to deny, reduce, modify, or terminate
- 5 an admission, continued inpatient stay, or the availability of any other health care services, for
- 6 failure to meet the requirements for coverage based on medical necessity, appropriateness of
- 7 health care setting and level of care, or effectiveness.
- 8 "Clinical peer reviewer", a physician or other health care professional, other than the
- 9 physician or other health care professional who made the initial decision, who holds a non-

restricted license from the appropriate professional licensing board in the commonwealth, a

current board certification from a specialty board approved by the American Board of Medical

Specialties or the Advisory Board of Osteopathic Specialists from the major areas of clinical

services or, for non-physician health care professionals, the recognized professional board for

their specialty, who also actively practices in the same or similar specialty as typically manages

the medical condition, procedure or treatment under review, and whose compensation does not

directly or indirectly depend upon the quantity, type or cost of the services that such person

approves or denies.

SECTION 2. Section 51 of said chapter 118E, as so appearing, is hereby amended by inserting after the first paragraph the following new paragraph

Upon making an adverse determination regarding an admission, continued inpatient stay, or the availability of any other health care services procedure or service, the division shall provide a written notification of the adverse determination that shall include a substantive clinical justification that is consistent with generally accepted principles of professional medical practice, and shall, at a minimum: (1) identify the specific information upon which the adverse determination was based; (2) discuss the medical assistance recipient's presenting symptoms or condition, diagnosis and treatment interventions and the specific reasons based on national evidence based medical standards and criteria that such medical evidence fails to meet a national evidence based medical standard and criteria; (3) specify any alternative treatment option offered by the division, if any; and (4) reference and include applicable clinical practice guidelines and review criteria used in making the adverse determination. The division shall give a provider treating a medical assistance recipient an opportunity to seek reconsideration of an adverse determination. Said reconsideration process shall occur within one working day of the receipt of

- 33 the request and shall be conducted between the provider rendering the service and the clinical
- 34 peer reviewer or a clinical peer designated by the clinical peer reviewer if said reviewer cannot
- 35 be available within one working day. If the adverse determination is not reversed by the
- 36 reconsideration process, nothing in the paragraph shall prevent the provider from pursuing the
- 37 claim through the division's appeal process.