State of South Dakota

EIGHTY-NINTH SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2014

462V0846

House concurrent resolution no. 1028

Introduced by: Representative Nelson and Senators Begalka and Maher

1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION, Urging Congress to repeal and defund Obamacare.
2	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010,
3	also known as Obamacare, amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of
4	2010, passed by the unsavory political maneuvering of reconciliation, was enacted by
5	completely partisan votes, i.e. not one Republican vote, in both the U.S. Senate and the U.S.
6	House of Representatives; and
7	WHEREAS, since 2010, many South Dakota politicians have campaigned claiming to be
8	opposed to Obamacare and to be dedicated to preventing the enactment and implementation of
9	Obamacare; and
10	WHEREAS, on February 23, 2010, SB 137, an Act to nullify Obamacare, was defeated; and
11	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2010, the South Dakota attorney general joined Florida and
12	twelve other states in a lawsuit contesting that Obamacare was unconstitutional; and
13	WHEREAS, between July 15 - September 29, 2010, South Dakota state governmental
14	agencies received six Obamacare grants to enact and implement Obamacare provisions, which
15	they previously applied for, totaling \$2,860,314.00; and

- 2 - HCR 1028

WHEREAS, in December 2010, SB 38 and SB 43 were prefiled and subsequently passed

- during the 2011 South Dakota Legislative Session, which enacted changes to South Dakota
- 3 Codified Law to facilitate enactment and implementation of Obamacare; and
- WHEREAS, on February 9, 2011, HB 1165 to prohibit the enforcement of Obamacare in
- 5 South Dakota, was defeated; and
- 6 WHEREAS, between August 11 September 24, 2011, South Dakota state governmental
- 7 agencies received five Obamacare grants to enact and implement Obamacare provisions, which
- 8 they previously applied for, totaling \$3,774,653.00; and
- 9 WHEREAS, on September 28, 2011, the South Dakota attorney general joined other states
- in challenging the constitutionality of Obamacare to the Supreme Court of the United States of
- 11 America; and
- WHEREAS, on February 7, 2012, HB 1191, an Act to provide for an interstate compact on
- public health care, to establish an interstate advisory health care commission, to specify the
- relationship between the member states and the federal government, and to assert the right to
- 15 federal funding in lieu of certain federally funded incentives, was defeated; and
- WHEREAS, on February 13, 2012, HB 1165, a repeal of 2011's SB 43, was defeated; and
- WHEREAS, on February 13, 2012, HB 1167, a repeal of 2011's SB 38, was defeated; and
- WHEREAS, on February 15, 2012, HB 1190, an Act to require Legislative approval before
- a health insurance exchange is created or implemented, was defeated; and
- WHEREAS, on July 1, 2012, HB 1185 became law when it was passed to prohibit all
- 21 qualified health plans offered through Obamacare health care exchanges in South Dakota,
- 22 including abortion coverage; and
- WHEREAS, on July 1, 2012, HB 1220 became law when it was passed to conditionally
- repeal certain provisions enacting and implementing Obamcare provisions into SDCL via 2011

- 3 - HCR 1028

- legislation in the event Obamacare was found to be unconstitutional; and
- WHEREAS, between May 16 September 25, 2012, South Dakota state governmental
- 3 agencies received five Obamacare grants to enact and implement Obamacare provisions, which
- 4 they previously applied for, totaling \$7,363,460.00; and
- 5 WHEREAS, on June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court of the United States of America ruled
- 6 that Obamacare was a tax and therefore constitutionally enacted; and
- WHEREAS, on February 4, 2013, HB 1244, an Act to prohibit the expansion of Medicaid
- 8 eligibility under Obamacare, was defeated; and
- 9 WHEREAS, on July 1, 2013, SB 139 became law when it was passed to regulate certain
- market activity in an enacted Obamacare health exchange in South Dakota; and
- WHEREAS, on July 1, 2013, HB 1103 became law when it was passed to exempt credit
- health insurers from certain provisions related to preexisting conditions; and
- WHEREAS, between June 25 September 20, 2013, South Dakota state governmental
- 14 agencies received five Obamacare grants to enact and implement Obamacare provisions, which
- they previously applied for, totaling \$3,686,723.00; and
- WHEREAS, all reliable public opinion polls continue to show that less than half of the
- population supports the unpopular Obamacare, and support continues to decline as more and
- more details of the costly law take effect and Americans lose their current health care coverage;
- 19 and
- WHEREAS, health care costs are already rising and are projected to rise more by the
- 21 nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office estimates for this program, and the data is showing
- 22 that the care and cost for those with health insurance will rise to compensate for those not being
- 23 presently covered; and
- 24 WHEREAS, employers, especially those employing over fifty employees, are finding it

- 4 - HCR 1028

1 impractical to employ more full-time employees due to these onerous costs, because it is

- 2 impractical, expensive, and harms the businesses; and
- 3 WHEREAS, the Obama Administration recently delayed the employer mandate, but unfairly
- 4 is still forcing individuals and families to pay to implement an individual mandate; and
- 5 WHEREAS, both public and private unions have petitioned for waivers to be released from
- 6 the mandates of Obamacare, and recently, having discovered the details of the plan, are calling
- 7 for repeal or fixes to the law; and
- 8 WHEREAS, health care should remain in the hands of citizens, not bureaucrats; and
- 9 WHEREAS, Obamacare will result in the IRS alone hiring more than 12,000 new agents to
- ensure compliance, while more and more regular Americans lose full-time work by the day; and
- WHEREAS, the implementation of Obamacare has already prompted large corporations like
- 12 UPS, Home Depot, Walmart and others to dramatically revamp or eliminate their employee
- health care plans, and reduce workers to part-time status to avoid Obamacare's draconian
- mandates, causing great suffering for middle-class American families; and
- WHEREAS, the average family of four will spend an additional \$4,000 annually in health
- care costs by 2016 if Obamacare continues to be implemented; and
- WHEREAS, government will collect more than \$1.3 TRILLION in new taxes over the next
- decade on everything from prescription drugs and private insurance plans to medical device
- 19 manufacturers; and
- WHEREAS, Obamacare forces free people, under the cover of a convoluted Supreme Court
- 21 decision, to buy a product from a private company, which is fundamentally un-American,
- 22 unconstitutional, and anathema to a country that was built on free market principles; and
- WHEREAS, the United States Office of Personnel Management has recently exempted
- 24 Congress and its staff from Obamacare while forcing American taxpayers they are supposed to

- 5 - HCR 1028

- 1 serve, into compliance with it; and
- 2 WHEREAS, Congress has the power to stop Obamacare from being forced upon the people
- 3 of the United States by introducing and securing passage of bills to repeal Obamacare as well
- 4 as appropriations bills that exclude funding for Obamacare:
- 5 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-
- 6 Ninth Legislature of the State of South Dakota, the Senate concurring therein, that the South
- 7 Dakota Legislature urges South Dakota's Congressional delegation to support every effort by
- 8 their Congressional colleagues to repeal and defund Obamacare; and
- 9 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Legislature urges Congress and President Obama
- 10 to support such efforts as the will of the people.