Union Calendar No. 120

112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2056

[Report No. 112-182]

To instruct the Inspector General of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to study the impact of insured depository institution failures, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

May 31, 2011

Mr. Westmoreland (for himself, Mr. David Scott of Georgia, Mr. Broun of Georgia, Mr. Gary G. Miller of California, Mr. Posey, Mr. Marchant, and Mr. Mack) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Financial Services

July 26, 2011

Additional sponsors: Mr. Grimm, Mrs. Maloney, Mr. Hinojosa, Mr. Schweikert, Mr. Manzullo, Mr. McIntyre, and Ms. Hayworth

July 26, 2011

Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on May 31, 2011]

A BILL

To instruct the Inspector General of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to study the impact of insured depository institution failures, and for other purposes.

1	Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2	tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3	SECTION 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL STUDY.
4	(a) Study.—The Inspector General of the Federal De-
5	posit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) shall conduct a com-
6	prehensive study on the impact of the failure of insured de-
7	pository institutions.
8	(b) Definitions.—For purposes of this Act—
9	(1) the term "insured depository institution" has
10	the meaning given such term in section 3(c) of the
11	Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813(c));
12	(2) the term "private equity company" has the
13	meaning given the terms "hedge fund" and "private
14	equity fund" in section 13(h)(2) of the Bank Holding
15	Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1851(h)(2)); and
16	(3) the term "paper-loss" means any write down
17	on a performing asset held by an insured depository
18	institution that causes such institution to raise more
19	capital in order to cover the write down.
20	(c) Matters To Be Studied.—In conducting the
21	study under this section, the Inspector General shall address
22	the following:
23	(1) Loss-sharing agreements.—The effect of
24	loss-sharing agreements (LSAs), including—

1	(A) the impact of loss-sharing on the in-
2	sured depository institutions that survive and
3	the borrowers of insured depository institutions
4	that fail, including—
5	(i) the impact on the rate of loan
6	$modifications \ and \ adjustments;$
7	(ii) whether more types of loans (such
8	as commercial (including land development
9	and 1- to 4-family residential and commer-
10	cial construction loans), residential, or
11	small business loans) could be modified with
12	fewer LSAs, or if LSAs could be phased out
13	altogether;
14	(iii) the FDIC's policies and proce-
15	dures for monitoring LSAs, including those
16	designed to ensure institutions are not im-
17	prudently selling assets at a depressed
18	value;
19	(iv) the impact on the availability of
20	credit; and
21	(v) the impact on loans with partici-
22	pation agreements outstanding with other
23	insured depository institutions;
24	(B) the FDIC's policies and procedures for
25	terminating LSAs and mitigating the risk of ac-

1	quiring institutions having substantial assets re-
2	maining in their portfolio when the LSAs are
3	due to expire;
4	(C) the extent to which LSAs provide incen-
5	tives for loan modifications and other means of
6	increasing the probability of commercial assets
7	being considered "performing";
8	(D) the nature and extent of differences for
9	modifying residential assets and working out
10	commercial real estate under LSAs; and
11	(E) methods of ensuring the orderly end of
12	expiring LSAs to prevent any adverse impact on
13	borrowing, real estate industry and the Deposi-
14	tors Insurance Fund.
15	(2) Paper losses.—The significance of paper
16	losses, including—
17	(A) the number of insured depository insti-
18	tutions that have been placed into receivership or
19	conservatorship due to paper losses;
20	(B) the impact on paper losses of raising
21	$more\ capital;$
22	(C) the effect of changes in the application
23	of the fair value of real estate accounting rules
24	and other accounting standards;

1	(D) whether field examiners are using prop-
2	er appraisal procedures with respect to paper
3	losses; and
4	(E) methods of stopping the vicious down-
5	ward spiral of losses and write downs.
6	(3) Appraisals.—
7	(A) The number of insured depository insti-
8	tutions placed into receivership or conservator-
9	ship due to asset write-downs and the policies
10	and procedures for evaluating the adequacy of an
11	insured depository institution's allowance for
12	loan and lease losses.
13	(B) The policies and procedures examiners
14	use for evaluating the appraised values of prop-
15	erty securing real estate loans and the extent to
16	which those policies and procedures are followed.
17	(C) FDIC field examiner implementation of
18	guidance issued December 2, 2010, titled "Agen-
19	cies Issue Final Appraisal and Evaluation
20	Guidelines".
21	(4) Capital.—
22	(A) The factors that examiners use to assess
23	the adequacy of capital at insured depository in-
24	stitutions, including the extent to which the
25	quality and risk profile of the insured institu-

1	tion's loan portfolio is considered in the exam-
2	iners' assessment.
3	(B) The number of applications received by
4	the FDIC from private capital investors to ac-
5	quire insured depository institutions in receiver-
6	ship, the factors used by the FDIC in evaluating
7	the applications, and the number of applications
8	that have been approved or not approved, includ-
9	ing the reasons pertaining thereto.
10	(C) The policies and procedures associated
11	with the evaluation of potential private invest-
12	ments in insured depository institutions and the
13	extent to which those policies and procedures are
14	followed.
15	(5) Workouts.—The success of FDIC field ex-
16	aminers in implementing FDIC guidelines titled
17	"Policy Statement on Prudent Commercial Real Es-
18	tate Loan Workouts" (October 31, 2009) regarding
19	workouts of commercial real estate, including—
20	(A) whether field examiners are using the
21	correct appraisals; and
22	(B) whether there is any difference in im-
23	plementation between residential workouts and
24	commercial (including land development and 1-

1	to 4-family residential and commercial construc-
2	tion loans) workouts.
3	(6) Orders.—The application and impact of
4	consent orders and cease and desist orders, includ-
5	ing—
6	(A) whether such orders have been applied
7	uniformly and fairly across all insured deposi-
8	tory institutions;
9	(B) the reasons for failing to apply such or-
10	ders uniformly and fairly when such failure oc-
11	curs;
12	(C) the impact of such orders on the ability
13	of insured depository institutions to raise cap-
14	it al;
15	(D) the impact of such orders on the ability
16	of insured depository institutions to extend or
17	modify credit to existing and new borrowers; and
18	(E) whether individual insured depository
19	institutions have improved enough to have such
20	orders removed.
21	(7) FDIC POLICY.—The application and impact
22	of FDIC policies, including—
23	(A) the impact of FDIC policies on the in-
24	vestment in insured depository institutions, espe-

1	cially in States where more than 10 such institu-
2	tions have failed since 2008;
3	(B) whether the FDIC fairly and consist-
4	ently applies capital standards when an insured
5	depository institution is successful in raising
6	private capital; and
7	(C) whether the FDIC steers potential inves-
8	tors away from insured depository institutions
9	that may be in danger of being placed in receiv-
10	ership or conservatorship.
11	(8) Private equity companies.—The FDIC's
12	handling of potential investment from private equity
13	companies in insured depository institutions, includ-
14	ing—
15	(A) the number of insured depository insti-
16	tutions that have been approved to receive pri-
17	vate equity investment by the FDIC;
18	(B) the number of insured depository insti-
19	tutions that have been rejected from receiving
20	private equity investment by the FDIC; and
21	(C) the reasons for rejection of private eq-
22	uity investment when such rejection occurs.
23	(d) Report.—Not later than one year after the date
24	of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General shall sub-
25	mit to Congress a report—

1	(1) on the results of the study conducted pursu-
2	ant to this section; and
3	(2) any recommendations based on such study.
4	(e) Coordination Between FDIC IG, Treasury IG,
5	AND FEDERAL RESERVE IG.—In carrying out this section,
6	the Inspector General of the FDIC shall consult with the
7	Inspectors General of the Treasury and of the Federal Re-
8	serve System, and such Inspectors General shall provide
9	any documents or other material requested by the Inspector
10	General of the FDIC in order to carry out this section.
11	SEC. 2. FUNDING.
12	The FDIC shall make available from the portion of the
13	FDIC budget allocated to management expenses, sums al-
14	lowing the FDIC Inspector General to complete this study.
15	SEC. 3. GAO STUDY.
16	(a) Study.—The Comptroller General of the United
17	States shall carry out a study on the following:
18	(1) The causes of high levels of bank failures in
19	states with 10 or more failures since 2008.
20	(2) The procyclical impact of fair value account-
21	ing standards.
22	(3) The causes and potential solutions for the
23	"vicious cycle" of loan write downs, raising capital,
24	and failures.

1	(4) An analysis of the community impact of
2	bank failures.
3	(5) The feasibility and overall impact of loss
4	share agreements.
5	(b) Report.—Not later than the end of the 1-year pe-
6	riod beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act,
7	the Comptroller General shall issue a report to the Congress
8	on the study carried out pursuant to subsection (a).

Union Calendar No. 120

112TH CONGRESS H. R. 2056

[Report No. 112-182]

A BILL

To instruct the Inspector General of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to study the impact of insured depository institution failures, and for other purposes.

July 26, 2011

Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed