111TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2179

To permit commercial vehicles at weights up to 129,000 pounds to use certain highways of the Interstate System in the State of Idaho, which would provide significant savings in the transportation of goods throughout the United States, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 29, 2009

Mr. SIMPSON (for himself and Mr. MINNICK) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

A BILL

- To permit commercial vehicles at weights up to 129,000 pounds to use certain highways of the Interstate System in the State of Idaho, which would provide significant savings in the transportation of goods throughout the United States, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Idaho Efficient Vehicle
 - 5 Demonstration Act of 2009".
 - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 7 Congress finds that—

- (1) States including Montana, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming have been grandfathered the right to operate up to 129,000-pound or greater vehicle combinations on 9 axles or more on Federal highways;
 - (2) laws governing Federal highway funding effectively impose a limit of 105,500 pounds on the weight of vehicles permitted to use the Interstate System within the State of Idaho;
 - (3) the State of Idaho is surrounded by the States specified in paragraph (1) that allow higher weight limits on the Interstate System, which puts the State of Idaho at a disadvantage in moving freight within Idaho and into those surrounding States;
 - (4) in 2003, the legislature of the State of Idaho adopted House Bill 395, which established a 10-year pilot project that allows vehicle combinations up to 129,000 pounds to be operated on 10 axles on specific routes in that State, but does not address the Interstate System;
 - (5) in enacting the pilot project program in House Bill 395 of the State, the legislature required the Idaho Transportation Department to report to the legislature on the effect of the program;

- 1 (6) the Idaho Transportation Department is re2 quired to submit reports every 3 years during the
 3 10-year life of the pilot project program that de4 scribe the results of monitoring and evaluation of all
 5 important impacts, including impacts to safety,
 6 bridges, and pavement, on all pilot project routes;
 - (7) the pilot project program terminates on July 1, 2013, unless the program is otherwise extended or sooner repealed by the legislature;
 - (8) the administration of the pilot project, coupled with the fact that vehicle combinations cannot operate at 129,000 pounds on the Interstate System, has forced those heavier vehicle combinations to divert onto small State and local roads on which higher vehicle weight limits are allowed under State law;
 - (9) the diversion of those vehicles onto those roads increases fuel costs because of increased idling time and total travel time along those roads;
 - (10) the cost of transportation fuel has increased more than 80 percent between calendar years 2007 and 2008; and
 - (11) permitting commercial vehicles to travel on a select few Interstate System highways within the State at increased weight limits would provide—

1	(A) significant savings in the transpor-
2	tation of goods throughout the State; and
3	(B) substantial data and an opportunity
4	for analysis of the impacts of the vehicles or
5	bridges, highway safety, and pavements.
6	SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
7	In this Act the following definitions apply:
8	(1) COVERED INTERSTATE SYSTEM HIGH-
9	WAY.—The term "covered Interstate System high-
10	way" means any portion of a highway designated as
11	a route on the Interstate System that, as of the date
12	of enactment of this Act, is not exempt from the re-
13	quirements of subsection (a) of section 127 of title
14	23, United States Code, pursuant to a waiver under
15	that subsection.
16	(2) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means
17	the Director of the Idaho Transportation Depart-
18	ment.
19	(3) Interstate system.—The term "Inter-
20	state System" has the meaning given the term in
21	section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code.
22	(4) PILOT PROJECT.—The term "pilot project"
23	means the 10-year pilot project of the State, estab-
24	lished in 2003 under House Bill 395 of the State

that permits vehicle combinations weighing up to

1	129,000 pounds to be operated on specific routes in
2	that State.
3	(5) Secretary.—The term "Secretary" means
4	the Secretary of Transportation.
5	(6) State.—The term "State" means the State
6	of Idaho.
7	SEC. 4. WAIVER OF HIGHWAY FUNDING REDUCTION RELAT
8	ING TO WEIGHT OF VEHICLES USING INTER
9	STATE SYSTEM HIGHWAYS.
10	(a) Prohibition Relating to Certain Vehi-
11	CLES.—Notwithstanding section 127(a) of title 23, United
12	States Code, the total amount of funds apportioned to the
13	State under section 104(b)(1) of that title for any period
14	may not be reduced under section 127(a) if the State per-
15	mits a vehicle combination described in subsection (b) to
16	use a covered Interstate System highway in the State in
17	accordance with this Act.
18	(b) Combination Vehicles in Excess of 105,500
19	Pounds up to 129,000 Pounds.—A vehicle described in
20	this subsection is a vehicle that—
21	(1) has a weight in excess of 105,500 pounds
22	but not more than 129,000 pounds;
23	(2) consists of a power unit hauling 2 or more
24	trailers or semitrailers;

1	(3) does not exceed any vehicle weight limita-
2	tion that is applicable under State law to the oper-
3	ation of the vehicle on highways in the State that
4	are not part of the Interstate System, as those laws
5	are in effect on the date of enactment of this Act;
6	and
7	(4) is limited to travel only on—
8	(A) the portion of Interstate Route 15 ex-
9	tending from the Montana border to the Utah
10	border;
11	(B) the portion of Interstate Route 86 ex-
12	tending from the junction of Interstate Route
13	15 to the junction with Interstate Route 84;
14	and
15	(C) the portion of Interstate Route 84 ex-
16	tending from the Utah border to the Oregon
17	border.
18	(c) Termination of Authority.—
19	(1) In General.—Subject to paragraph (2),
20	this section and the authority provided under this
21	section shall terminate on July 1, 2013.
22	(2) Exceptions.—This section and the author-
23	ity provided under this section shall terminate on—

1	(A) a date that is later than the date spec-
2	ified in paragraph (1), if the project is extended
3	to that later date by the State; or
4	(B) any date that is before, on, or after
5	the date specified in paragraph (1), if the Sec-
6	retary—
7	(i) determines that—
8	(I) operation of vehicles described
9	in subsection (b) on covered Interstate
10	System highways has adversely af-
11	fected safety on the overall highway
12	system; or
13	(II) the Director has failed to
14	collect the data described in section
15	5(2); and
16	(ii) publishes the determination, to-
17	gether with the date of termination of this
18	section, in the Federal Register.
19	(d) Consultation Regarding Termination for
20	SAFETY.—In making a determination under subsection
21	(c)(2)(A)(i), the Secretary shall consult with the Director.
22	SEC. 5. RESPONSIBILITIES OF STATE.
23	For the purpose of section 4, the State shall be con-
24	sidered to meet the conditions under this section if the
25	Director—

1	(1) submits to the Secretary a plan for use in
2	meeting the conditions described in paragraph (2);
3	and

(2) collects data on the net effects that the operation of vehicles described in section 4(b) on covered Interstate System highways in the State has on the safety of the overall highway system, as required by House Bill 395 of the State.

 \bigcirc