

Union Calendar No. 357

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 6192

[Report No. 118-432]

To amend the Energy Policy and Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard for a product that is not technologically feasible and economically justified, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 2, 2023

Mrs. LESKO introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce

MARCH 26, 2024

Additional sponsors: Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia, Mrs. HARSHBARGER, Mrs. MILLER of Illinois, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. BERGMAN, Mr. ALFORD, Mr. JACKSON of Texas, Mr. LAHOOD, Ms. VAN DUYNE, and Mr. WEBER of Texas

MARCH 26, 2024

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on November 2, 2023]

A BILL

To amend the Energy Policy and Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard for a product that is not technologically feasible and economically justified, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 *This Act may be cited as the “Hands Off Our Home*
5 *Appliances Act”.*

6 **SEC. 2. PRESCRIBING NEW OR AMENDED ENERGY CON-**

7 **SERVATION STANDARDS.**

8 *(a) AMENDMENT OF STANDARDS.—*

9 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 325(m)(1) of the En-*
10 *ergy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C.*
11 *6295(m)(1)) is amended to read as follows:*

12 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may, for any*
13 *product, publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in-*
14 *cluding new proposed standards for such product*
15 *based on the criteria established under subsection (o)*
16 *and the procedures established under subsection (p).”.*

17 *(2) AMENDMENT OF STANDARD.—Section*
18 *325(m)(3) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act*
19 *(42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(3)) is amended to read as follows:*

20 *“(3) AMENDMENT OF STANDARD.—Not later than*
21 *2 years after a notice is issued under paragraph (1),*
22 *the Secretary shall publish a final rule amending the*
23 *standard for the product.”.*

1 (b) *PETITION FOR AMENDED STANDARD.*—Section
2 325(n) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42
3 U.S.C. 6295(n)) is amended—

4 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “AN
5 AMENDED STANDARD” and inserting “AMENDMENT
6 OR REVOCATION OF STANDARD”;

7 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “or revoked”
8 after “should be amended”;

9 (3) by amending paragraph (2) to read as fol-
10 lows:

11 “(2) The Secretary shall grant a petition to determine
12 if energy conservation standards for a covered product
13 should be amended or revoked if the Secretary finds that
14 such petition contains evidence, assuming no other evidence
15 were considered, that such standards—

16 “(A) result in additional costs to consumers;

17 “(B) do not result in significant conservation of
18 energy or water;

19 “(C) are not technologically feasible; and

20 “(D) result in such covered product not being
21 commercially available in the United States to all
22 consumers.”; and

23 (4) in paragraph (4)—

1 (A) by striking “*NEW OR AMENDED STANDARDS.*” and inserting “*NEW, AMENDED, OR REVOKED STANDARDS.*”;

4 (B) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively (and
5 by conforming the margins accordingly);

7 (C) by striking “*Not later than 3 years*”
8 and inserting the following:

9 “(A) *Not later than 3 years*; and

10 (D) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(B) *Not later than 180 days after the date*
12 *of granting a petition to revoke standards, the*
13 *Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register—*

14 “(i) *a final rule revoking the standards; or*

16 “(ii) *a determination that it is not necessary to revoke the standards.*

18 “(C) *The grant of a petition by the Secretary under this subsection creates no presumption with respect to the Secretary’s determination of any of the criteria in a rulemaking under this section.*

23 “(D) *Standards that have been revoked pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall be considered to be in effect for purposes of section 327.”.*

1 (c) *CRITERIA.*—Paragraphs (2) and (3) of section
2 325(o) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42
3 U.S.C. 6295(o)) are amended to read as follows:

4 “(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

5 “(A) *DESIGN.*—Any new or amended en-
6 ergy conservation standard prescribed by the
7 Secretary under this section for any type (or
8 class) of covered product shall be designed to
9 achieve the maximum improvement in energy ef-
10 ficiency, or, in the case of showerheads, faucets,
11 water closets, or urinals, water efficiency, which
12 the Secretary determines is technologically fea-
13 sible and economically justified.

14 “(B) *TEST PROCEDURES.*—The Secretary
15 may not prescribe a new or amended energy con-
16 servation standard under this section for a type
17 (or class) of covered product if a test procedure
18 has not been prescribed pursuant to section 323
19 with respect to that type (or class) of product.

20 “(C) *SIGNIFICANT CONSERVATION.*—The
21 Secretary may not prescribe a new or amended
22 energy conservation standard under this section
23 for a type (or class) of covered product if the
24 Secretary determines that the establishment and

1 *imposition of such energy conservation standard*
2 *will not result in significant conservation of—*

3 “(i) *energy; or*
4 “(ii) *in the case of showerheads, faucets, water closets, or urinals, water.*

6 “*(D) TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND*
7 *ECONOMICALLY JUSTIFIED.—The Secretary may*
8 *not prescribe a new or amended energy conserva-*
9 *tion standard under this section for a type (or*
10 *class) of covered product unless the Secretary de-*
11 *termines that the establishment and imposition*
12 *of such energy conservation standard is technolo-*
13 *gically feasible and economically justified.*

14 “(3) *FACTORS FOR DETERMINATION.—*

15 “(A) *ECONOMIC ANALYSIS.—Prior to pre-*
16 *scribing any new or amended energy conserva-*
17 *tion standard under this section for any type (or*
18 *class) of covered product, the Secretary shall con-*
19 *duct a quantitative economic impact analysis of*
20 *imposition of the energy conservation standard*
21 *that determines the predicted—*

22 “(i) *effects of imposition of the energy*
23 *conservation standard on costs and mone-*
24 *tary benefits to consumers of the products*

1 *subject to such energy conservation stand-*
2 *ard, including—*

3 “*(I) costs to low-income house-*
4 *holds; and*

5 “*(II) variations in costs to con-*
6 *sumers based on differences in regions,*
7 *including climatic differences;*

8 “*(ii) effects of imposition of the energy*
9 *conservation standard on employment; and*

10 “*(iii) lifecycle costs for the covered*
11 *product, including costs associated with the*
12 *purchase, installation, maintenance, dis-*
13 *posal, and replacement of the covered prod-*
14 *uct.*

15 “(B) *PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL COSTS*
16 *TO THE CONSUMER.*—*The Secretary may not de-*
17 *termine that imposition of an energy conserva-*
18 *tion standard is economically justified unless the*
19 *Secretary, based on an economic analysis under*
20 *subparagraph (A), determines that—*

21 “(i) *imposition of such energy con-*
22 *servation standard is not likely to result in*
23 *additional net costs to the consumer, includ-*
24 *ing any increase in net costs associated*
25 *with the purchase, installation, mainte-*

1 *nance, disposal, and replacement of the cov-*
2 *ered product; and*

3 “(ii) *the monetary value of the energy*
4 *savings and, as applicable, water savings,*
5 *that the consumer will receive as a result of*
6 *such energy conservation standard during*
7 *the first 3 years after purchasing and in-*
8 *stalling a covered product complying with*
9 *such energy conservation standard, as cal-*
10 *culated under the applicable test procedure,*
11 *will be greater than any increased costs to*
12 *the consumer of the covered product due to*
13 *imposition of such energy conservation*
14 *standard, including increased costs associ-*
15 *ated with the purchase, installation, main-*
16 *tenance, disposal, and replacement of the*
17 *covered product.*

18 “(C) *REQUIRED ENERGY OR WATER SAV-*
19 *INGS.—The Secretary may not determine that*
20 *imposition of an energy conservation standard is*
21 *economically justified unless the Secretary deter-*
22 *mines that compliance with such energy con-*
23 *servation standard will result in—*

24 “(i) *a reduction of at least 0.3 quads*
25 *of site energy over 30 years; or*

1 “(ii) at least a 10 percent reduction in
2 energy or water use of the covered product.

3 “(D) CRITERIA RELATED TO PERFORMANCE.—The Secretary may not determine that
4 imposition of an energy conservation standard is
5 economically justified unless the Secretary deter-
6 mines that imposition of such energy conserva-
7 tion standard will not result in any lessening of
8 the utility or the performance of the applicable
9 covered product, taking into consideration the ef-
10 fects of such energy conservation standard on—

11 “(i) the compatibility of the covered
12 product with existing systems;

13 “(ii) the life span of the covered prod-
14 uct;

15 “(iii) the operating conditions of the
16 covered product;

17 “(iv) the duty cycle, charging time,
18 and run time of the covered product, as ap-
19 plicable;

20 “(v) the maintenance requirements of
21 the covered product; and

22 “(vi) the replacement and disposal re-
23 quirements for the covered product.

1 “(E) CRITERIA RELATED TO MARKET COM-
2 PETITION AND PRICE DISCRIMINATION.—*The Sec-
3 retary may not determine that imposition of an
4 energy conservation standard is economically
5 justified unless the Secretary determines that im-
6 position of the energy conservation standard is
7 not likely to result in—*

8 “(i) any lessening of market competi-
9 tion; or

10 “(ii) price discrimination.

11 “(F) TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION.—*The
12 Secretary may not determine that imposition of
13 an energy conservation standard is economically
14 justified unless the Secretary determines that im-
15 position of such energy conservation standard is
16 not likely to result in the unavailability in the
17 United States of a type (or class) of products
18 based on what type of fuel the product consumes.*

19 “(G) OTHER CONSIDERATIONS.—*In deter-
20 mining whether imposition of an energy con-
21 servation standard is economically justified, the
22 Secretary—*

23 “(i) shall prioritize the interests of con-
24 sumers;

1 “(ii) may not consider estimates of the
2 social costs or social benefits associated with
3 incremental greenhouse gas emissions; and

4 “(iii) shall consider—

5 “(I) the economic impact of the
6 standard on the manufacturers and on
7 the consumers of the products subject to
8 such standard;

9 “(II) the savings in operating
10 costs throughout the estimated average
11 life of the covered product in the type
12 (or class) compared to any increase in
13 the price of, or in the initial charges
14 for, or maintenance expenses of, the
15 covered products which are likely to re-
16 sult from the imposition of the stand-
17 ard;

18 “(III) the total projected amount
19 of energy, or as applicable, water, sav-
20 ings likely to result directly from the
21 imposition of the standard;

22 “(IV) the need for national energy
23 and water conservation; and

24 “(V) other factors the Secretary
25 considers relevant.

1 “(H) REGULATORY REVIEW.—

2 “(i) EVALUATION.—Not later than 2 years
3 after the issuance of any final rule prescribing a
4 new or amended energy conservation standard
5 under this section for any type (or class) of cov-
6 ered product, the Secretary shall evaluate the
7 rule to determine whether such energy conserva-
8 tion standard is technologically feasible and eco-
9 nomically justified and whether the regulatory
10 impact analysis for such rule remains accurate.

11 “(ii) EFFECT.—Notwithstanding any other
12 provision of this part, if the Secretary deter-
13 mines, based on an evaluation under clause (i),
14 that an energy conservation standard is not tech-
15 nologically feasible or economically justified—

16 “(I) the Secretary shall publish such
17 determination and such energy conservation
18 standard shall have no force or effect (except
19 that such energy conservation standard
20 shall be considered to be in effect for pur-
21 poses of section 327); and

22 “(II) the Secretary may publish a final
23 rule amending the energy conservation
24 standard for the type (or class) of covered
25 product to be technologically feasible and

1 *economically justified in accordance with*
2 *this subsection, which amendment shall*
3 *apply to such a product that is manufac-*
4 *tured after the date that is 2 years after*
5 *publication of such final rule.”.*

6 **SEC. 3. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**

7 (a) *REGIONAL STANDARDS.—Section*
8 *325(o)(6)(D)(i)(II) of the Energy Policy and Conservation*
9 *Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(o)(6)(D)(i)(II)) is amended by striking*
10 *“this paragraph” and inserting “this subsection”.*

11 (b) *PROCEDURE FOR PRESCRIBING NEW OR AMENDED*
12 *STANDARDS.—Section 325(p)(2)(A) of the Energy Policy*
13 *and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(p)(2)(A)) is amend-*
14 *ed by striking “taking into account those factors which the*
15 *Secretary must consider under subsection (o)(2)” and in-*
16 *serting “as determined in accordance with subsection (o)”.*

17 (c) *ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR HIGH-*
18 *INTENSITY DISCHARGE LAMPS, DISTRIBUTION TRANS-*
19 *FORMERS, AND SMALL ELECTRIC MOTORS.—Section 346 of*
20 *the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6317)*
21 *is amended by striking subsection (c).*

Union Calendar No. 357

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 6192

[Report No. 118-432]

A BILL

To amend the Energy Policy and Conservation Act to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from prescribing any new or amended energy conservation standard for a product that is not technologically feasible and economically justified, and for other purposes.

MARCH 26, 2024

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed