

118TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R. 7210

To amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to provide for the treatment of payments for child care and other personal use services as an authorized campaign expenditure, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 1, 2024

Ms. PORTER (for herself, Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia, Ms. TLAIB, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Ms. SCANLON, and Ms. TOKUDA) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on House Administration

---

## A BILL

To amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to provide for the treatment of payments for child care and other personal use services as an authorized campaign expenditure, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; FINDINGS; PURPOSE.**

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the  
5 “Help America Run Act”.

6 (b) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

1           (1) Everyday Americans experience barriers to  
2 entry before they can consider running for office to  
3 serve their communities.

4           (2) Current law states that campaign funds  
5 cannot be spent on everyday expenses that would  
6 exist whether or not a candidate were running for  
7 office, like rent and food. While the law seems neu-  
8 tral, its actual effect is to privilege the independently  
9 wealthy who want to run, because given the de-  
10 mands of running for office, candidates who must  
11 work to pay for child care or to afford health insur-  
12 ance are effectively being left out of the process,  
13 even if they have sufficient support to mount a via-  
14 ble campaign.

15           (3) Thus current practice favors those prospec-  
16 tive candidates who do not need to rely on a regular  
17 paycheck to make ends meet. The consequence is  
18 that everyday Americans who have firsthand knowl-  
19 edge of the importance of stable child care, a safety  
20 net, or great public schools are less likely to get a  
21 seat at the table. This governance by the few is anti-  
22 thetical to the democratic experiment, but most im-  
23 portantly, when lawmakers do not share the con-  
24 cerns of everyday Americans, their policies reflect  
25 that.

1           (4) These circumstances have contributed to a  
2 Congress that does not always reflect everyday  
3 Americans. The New York Times reported in 2019  
4 that fewer than 5 percent of representatives cite  
5 blue-collar or service jobs in their biographies. A  
6 2015 survey by the Center for Responsive Politics  
7 showed that the median net worth of lawmakers was  
8 just over \$1 million in 2013, or 18 times the wealth  
9 of the typical American household.

10           (5) These circumstances have also contributed  
11 to a governing body that does not reflect the Nation  
12 it serves. For instance, women are 51 percent of the  
13 American population. Yet even with a record number  
14 of women serving in the One Hundred Eighteenth  
15 Congress, the Pew Research Center notes that more  
16 than two out of three Members of this Congress are  
17 male. The Center for American Women And Politics  
18 found that one-third of women legislators surveyed  
19 had been actively discouraged from running for of-  
20 fice, often by political professionals. This type of dis-  
21 couragement, combined with the burdens of child  
22 care that still fall disproportionately on American  
23 women, particularly disadvantages working mothers.  
24 These barriers may explain why only 12 women in  
25 history have given birth while serving in Congress,

1 in spite of the prevalence of working parents in  
2 other professions. Yet working mothers and fathers  
3 are best positioned to create policy that reflects the  
4 lived experience of most Americans.

5 (6) Working mothers, those caring for their el-  
6 derly parents, and young professionals who rely on  
7 their jobs for health insurance should have the free-  
8 dom to run to serve the people of the United States.  
9 Their networks and net worth are simply not the  
10 best indicators of their strength as prospective pub-  
11 lic servants. In fact, helping ordinary Americans to  
12 run may create better policy for all Americans.

13 (c) PURPOSE.—It is the purpose of this Act to ensure  
14 that all Americans who are otherwise qualified to serve  
15 this Nation are able to run for office, regardless of their  
16 economic status. By expanding permissible uses of cam-  
17 paign funds and providing modest assurance that testing  
18 a run for office will not cost one’s livelihood, the Help  
19 America Run Act will facilitate the candidacy of represent-  
20 atives who more accurately reflect the experiences, chal-  
21 lenges, and ideals of everyday Americans.

1 **SEC. 2. TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS FOR CHILD CARE AND**  
2 **OTHER PERSONAL USE SERVICES AS AU-**  
3 **THORIZED CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE.**

4 (a) PERSONAL USE SERVICES AS AUTHORIZED CAM-  
5 PAIGN EXPENDITURE.—Section 313 of the Federal Elec-  
6 tion Campaign Act of 1971 (52 U.S.C. 30114) is amended  
7 by adding at the end the following new subsection:

8 “(d) TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS FOR CHILD CARE  
9 AND OTHER PERSONAL USE SERVICES AS AUTHORIZED  
10 CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURE.—

11 “(1) AUTHORIZED EXPENDITURES.—For pur-  
12 poses of subsection (a), the payment by an author-  
13 ized committee of a candidate for any of the per-  
14 sonal use services described in paragraph (3) shall  
15 be treated as an authorized expenditure if the serv-  
16 ices are necessary to enable the participation of the  
17 candidate in campaign-connected activities.

18 “(2) LIMITATIONS.—

19 “(A) LIMIT ON TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAY-  
20 MENTS.—The total amount of payments made  
21 by an authorized committee of a candidate for  
22 personal use services described in paragraph (3)  
23 may not exceed the limit which is applicable  
24 under any law, rule, or regulation on the  
25 amount of payments which may be made by the  
26 committee for the salary of the candidate (with-

1 out regard to whether or not the committee  
2 makes payments to the candidate for that pur-  
3 pose).

4 “(B) CORRESPONDING REDUCTION IN  
5 AMOUNT OF SALARY PAID TO CANDIDATE.—To  
6 the extent that an authorized committee of a  
7 candidate makes payments for the salary of the  
8 candidate, any limit on the amount of such pay-  
9 ments which is applicable under any law, rule,  
10 or regulation shall be reduced by the amount of  
11 any payments made to or on behalf of the can-  
12 didate for personal use services described in  
13 paragraph (3), other than personal use services  
14 described in subparagraph (D) of such para-  
15 graph.

16 “(3) PERSONAL USE SERVICES DESCRIBED.—  
17 The personal use services described in this para-  
18 graph are as follows:

19 “(A) Child care services.

20 “(B) Elder care services.

21 “(C) Services similar to the services de-  
22 scribed in subparagraph (A) or subparagraph  
23 (B) which are provided on behalf of any de-  
24 pendent who is a qualifying relative under sec-  
25 tion 152 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

1                   “(D) Health insurance premiums.”.

2           (b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by  
3 this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment  
4 of this Act.

○