### Calendar No. 94

116TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION



[Report No. 116-41]

To deter criminal robocall violations and improve enforcement of section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, and for other purposes.

#### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JANUARY 16, 2019

Mr. Thune (for himself, Mr. Markey, Mr. Moran, Mr. Gardner, Mrs. Cap-ITO, MS. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, MS. DUCKWORTH, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. FISCHER, Ms. SINEMA, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. CRAMER, Ms. WAR-REN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. KING, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. TESTER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. UDALL, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. SCOTT of Florida, Mr. GRASS-LEY, Mr. CARPER, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. BROWN, Mr. DAINES, Ms. COR-TEZ MASTO, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. COR-NYN, Ms. HARRIS, Mr. PETERS, Ms. McSally, Mr. Reed, Mr. Booz-MAN, MS. SMITH, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. LANKFORD, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. BLUNT, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mrs. Shaheen, Ms. Hassan, Mr. Cotton, Mr. Burr, Mr. Crapo, Mr. MANCHIN, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. CASEY, Mr. BENNET, Mr. COONS, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. HEINRICH, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. ERNST, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina, Mr. KAINE, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. RISCH, MS. STABENOW, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. WARNER, Mrs. FEIN-STEIN, Mr. JONES, Mr. ENZI, Mr. HAWLEY, Mr. SHELBY, and Mr. ISAK-SON) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

MAY 21, 2019

Reported by Mr. WICKER, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

## A BILL

- To deter criminal robocall violations and improve enforcement of section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, and for other purposes.
- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### **3** SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Telephone Robocall
5 Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act" or the
6 "TRACED Act".

#### 7 SEC. 2. FORFEITURE.

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 227 of the Communica9 tions Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227) is amended—

- 10 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the 11 following:
- 12 <u>"(4) CIVIL FORFEITURE.</u>

13 "(A) IN GENERAL.—Any person that is de-14 termined by the Commission, in accordance 15 with paragraph (3) or (4) of section 503(b), to 16 have violated any provision of this subsection 17 shall be liable to the United States for a for-18 feiture penalty pursuant to section 503(b)(1). 19 The amount of the forfeiture penalty determined under this subparagraph shall be determined in accordance with subparagraphs (A) through (F) of section 503(b)(2).

"(B) VIOLATION WITH INTENT.—Any per-4 5 son that is determined by the Commission, in accordance with paragraph (3) or (4) of section 6 7 503(b), to have violated this subsection with the 8 intent to cause such violation shall be liable to 9 the United States for a forfeiture penalty. The 10 amount of the forfeiture penalty determined 11 under this subparagraph shall be equal to an 12 amount determined in accordance with subpara-13 graphs (A) through (F) of section 503(b)(2)14 plus an additional penalty not to exceed 15 <del>\$10,000.</del>

16 <u>"(C) RECOVERY.—Any forfeiture penalty</u>
17 determined under subparagraph (A) or (B)
18 shall be recoverable under section 504(a).

19 "(D) PROCEDURE.—No forfeiture liability
20 shall be determined under subparagraph (A) or
21 (B) against any person unless such person re22 ceives the notice required by paragraph (3) or
23 (4) of section 503(b).

1

2

| 1  | "(E) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—No for-                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | feiture penalty shall be determined or imposed         |
| 3  | against any person—                                    |
| 4  | ${}$ (i) under subparagraph (A) if the                 |
| 5  | violation charged occurred more than 1                 |
| 6  | year prior to the date of issuance of the re-          |
| 7  | quired notice or notice of apparent liabil-            |
| 8  | ity; and   |
| 9  | <del>"(ii)</del> under subparagraph (B) if the         |
| 10 | violation charged occurred more than 3                 |
| 11 | years prior to the date of issuance of the             |
| 12 | required notice or notice of apparent liabil-          |
| 13 | ity.   |
| 14 | "(F) RULE OF CONSTRUCTIONNotwith-                      |
| 15 | standing any law to the contrary, the Commis-          |
| 16 | sion may not determine or impose a forfeiture          |
| 17 | penalty on a person under both subparagraphs           |
| 18 | (A) and (B) based on the same conduct."; and           |
| 19 | (2) by striking subsection (h).                        |
| 20 | (b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this         |
| 21 | section shall not affect any action or proceeding com- |
| 22 | menced before and pending on the date of enactment of  |
| 23 | this Act.  |
| 24 | (c) DEADLINE FOR REGULATIONS.—The Federal              |
|    |  |

25 Communications Commission shall prescribe regulations

to implement the amendments made by this section not
 later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this
 Act.

#### 4 SEC. 3. CALL AUTHENTICATION.

#### 5 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

6 (1) STIR/SHAKEN AUTHENTICATION FRAME-7 WORK.—The term "STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework" means the secure telephone identity re-8 9 visited and signature-based handling of asserted in-10 formation using tokens standards proposed by the 11 information and communications technology industry 12 to attach a certificate of authenticity to each phone 13 to verify the source of each call.

14 (2) VOICE SERVICE.—The term "voice serv-15 iee"—

16 (A) means any service that is inter-17 connected with the public switched telephone 18 network and that furnishes voice communica-19 tions to an end user using resources from the 20 North American Numbering Plan or any suc-21 cessor to the North American Numbering Plan 22 adopted by the Commission under section 23 251(e)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934(47 U.S.C. 251(e)(1)); and 24

25 (B) includes—

1 (i) transmissions from a telephone 2 facsimile machine, computer, or other de-3 vice to a telephone facsimile machine; and 4 (ii) without limitation, any service 5 that enables real-time, two-way voice com-6 munications, including any service that requires internet protocol-compatible cus-7 8 tomer premises equipment (commonly 9 known as "CPE") and permits out-bound calling, whether or not the service is one-10 11 way or two-way voice over internet pro-12 tocol.

13 (b) AUTHENTICATION FRAMEWORK.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
15 and (3), not later than 18 months after the date of
16 enactment of this Act, the Federal Communications
17 Commission shall require a provider of voice service
18 to implement the STIR/SHAKEN authentication
19 framework in the internet protocol networks of voice
20 service providers.

21 (2) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Federal Commu22 nications Commission shall not take the action de23 scribed in paragraph (1) if the Commission deter24 mines that a provider of voice service, not later than
25 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act—

| 1  | (A) has adopted the STIR/SHAKEN au-                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | thentication framework for calls on the internet    |
| 3  | protocol networks of voice service providers;       |
| 4  | (B) has agreed voluntarily to participate           |
| 5  | with other providers of voice service in the        |
| 6  | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework;               |
| 7  | (C) has begun to implement the STIR/                |
| 8  | SHAKEN authentication framework; and                |
| 9  | (D) will be capable of fully implementing           |
| 10 | the STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework            |
| 11 | not later than 18 months after the date of en-      |
| 12 | actment of this Act.                                |
| 13 | (3) IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.—Not later than           |
| 14 | 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act,  |
| 15 | the Federal Communications Commission shall sub-    |
| 16 | mit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and      |
| 17 | Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on   |
| 18 | Energy and Commerce of the House of Representa-     |
| 19 | tives a report on the determination required under  |
| 20 | paragraph (2), which shall include—                 |
| 21 | $(\Lambda)$ an analysis of the extent to which pro- |
| 22 | viders of a voice service have implemented the      |
| 23 | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework; and           |
| 24 | (B) an assessment of the efficacy of the            |
| 25 | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework, as            |

| 1  | being implemented under this section, in ad-       |
|----|--|
| 2  | dressing all aspects of call authentication.       |
| 3  | (4) Review and revision or replace-                |
| 4  | MENT.—Not later than 3 years after the date of en- |
| 5  | actment of this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, |
| 6  | the Federal Communications Commission, after pub-  |
| 7  | lie notice and an opportunity for comment, shall—  |
| 8  | (A) assess the efficacy of the call authen-        |
| 9  | tication framework implemented under this see-     |
| 10 | tion;  |
| 11 | (B) based on the assessment under sub-             |
| 12 | paragraph (A), revise or replace the call au-      |
| 13 | thentication framework under this section if the   |
| 14 | Commission determines it is in the public inter-   |
| 15 | est to do so; and                                  |
| 16 | (C) submit to the Committee on Com-                |
| 17 | merce, Science, and Transportation of the Sen-     |
| 18 | ate and the Committee on Energy and Com-           |
| 19 | merce of the House of Representatives a report     |
| 20 | on the findings of the assessment under sub-       |
| 21 | paragraph (A) and on any actions to revise or      |
| 22 | replace the call authentication framework under    |
| 23 | subparagraph (B).                                  |
| 24 | (5) Extension of implementation dead-              |
| 25 | LINE.—The Federal Communications Commission        |

| 1  | may extend any deadline for the implementation of   |
|----|---|
| 2  | a call authentication framework required under this |
| 3  | section by 12 months or such further amount of      |
| 4  | time as the Commission determines necessary if the  |
| 5  | Commission determines that purchasing or upgrad-    |
| 6  | ing equipment to support call authentication would  |
| 7  | constitute a substantial hardship for a provider or |
| 8  | category of providers.                              |
| 9  | (c) Safe Harbor and Other Regulations.—             |
| 10 | (1) IN GENERAL.—The Federal Communica-              |
| 11 | tions Commission shall promulgate rules—            |
| 12 | (A) establishing when a provider of voice           |
| 13 | service may block a voice call based, in whole or   |
| 14 | in part, on information provided by the call au-    |
| 15 | thentication framework under subsection (b);        |
| 16 | (B) establishing a safe harbor for a pro-           |
| 17 | vider of voice service from liability for unin-     |
| 18 | tended or inadvertent blocking of calls or for      |
| 19 | the unintended or inadvertent misidentification     |
| 20 | of the level of trust for individual calls based,   |
| 21 | in whole or in part, on information provided by     |
| 22 | the call authentication framework under sub-        |
| 23 | section (b); and                                    |
| 24 | (C) establishing a process to permit a call-        |
| 25 | ing party adversely affected by the information     |

| 1  | provided by the call authentication framework               |
|----|---|
| 2  | under subsection (b) to verify the authenticity             |
| 3  | of the calling party's calls.                               |
| 4  | (2) Considerations.—In establishing the safe                |
| 5  | harbor under paragraph (1), the Federal Commu-              |
| 6  | nications Commission shall consider limiting the li-        |
| 7  | ability of a provider based on the extent to which the      |
| 8  | provider—   |
| 9  | (A) blocks or identifies calls based, in                    |
| 10 | whole or in part, on the information provided               |
| 11 | by the call authentication framework under sub-             |
| 12 | section (b);  |
| 13 | (B) implemented procedures based, in                        |
| 14 | whole or in part, on the information provided               |
| 15 | by the call authentication framework under sub-             |
| 16 | section (b); and  |
| 17 | (C) used reasonable care.                                   |
| 18 | (d) Rule of Construction.—Nothing in this sec-              |
| 19 | tion shall preclude the Federal Communications Commis-      |
| 20 | sion from initiating a rulemaking pursuant to its existing  |
| 21 | statutory authority.  |
| 22 | SEC. 4. PROTECTIONS FROM SPOOFED CALLS.                     |
| 23 | (a) In GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the             |
| 24 | date of enactment of this Act, and consistent with the call |
| 25 | authentication framework under section 3, the Federal       |
|    |   |

Communications Commission shall initiate a rulemaking
 to help protect a subscriber from receiving unwanted calls
 or text messages from a caller using an unauthenticated
 number.

5 (b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In promulgating rules under
6 subsection (a), the Federal Communications Commission
7 shall consider—

8 (1) the Government Accountability Office report 9 on combating the fraudulent provision of misleading 10 or inaccurate caller identification required by section 11 503(c) of division P of the Consolidated Appropria-12 tions Act 2018 (Public Law 115–141);

13 (2) the best means of ensuring that a sub14 seriber or provider has the ability to block calls from
15 a caller using an unauthenticated North American
16 Numbering Plan number;

17 (3) the impact on the privacy of a subscriber
18 from unauthenticated calls;

(4) the effectiveness in verifying the accuracy of
caller identification information; and

21 (5) the availability and cost of providing protec22 tion from the unwanted calls or text messages de23 scribed in subsection (a).

12

#### 1 SEC. 5. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General, in consultation with the Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, shall convene an interagency working
group to study Government prosecution of violations of
section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47)
U.S.C. 227(b)).

8 (b) DUTIES.—In carrying out the study under sub9 section (a), the interagency working group shall—

10 (1) determine whether, and if so how, any Fed11 eral laws, including regulations, policies, and prac12 tices, or budgetary or jurisdictional constraints in13 hibit the prosecution of such violations;

14 (2) identify existing and potential Federal poli15 cies and programs that encourage and improve co16 ordination among Federal departments and agencies
17 and States, and between States, in the prevention
18 and prosecution of such violations;

19 (3) identify existing and potential international
20 policies and programs that encourage and improve
21 coordination between countries in the prevention and
22 prosecution of such violations; and

23 (4) consider—

24 (A) the benefit and potential sources of ad25 ditional resources for the Federal prevention

| 1  | and prosecution of criminal violations of that   |
|----|--|
| 2  | section;   |
| 3  | (B) whether to establish memoranda of un-        |
| 4  | derstanding regarding the prevention and pros-   |
| 5  | ecution of such violations between—              |
| 6  | (i) the States;                                  |
| 7  | (ii) the States and the Federal Gov-             |
| 8  | ernment; and                                     |
| 9  | (iii) the Federal Government and a               |
| 10 | foreign government;                              |
| 11 | (C) whether to establish a process to allow      |
| 12 | States to request Federal subpoenas from the     |
| 13 | Federal Communications Commission;               |
| 14 | (D) whether extending civil enforcement          |
| 15 | authority to the States would assist in the suc- |
| 16 | cessful prevention and prosecution of such vio-  |
| 17 | <del>lations;</del>                              |
| 18 | (E) whether increased forfeiture and im-         |
| 19 | prisonment penalties are appropriate, such as    |
| 20 | extending imprisonment for such a violation to   |
| 21 | a term longer than 2 years;                      |
| 22 | (F) whether regulation of any entity that        |
| 23 | enters into a business arrangement with a com-   |
| 24 | mon carrier regulated under title H of the Com-  |
| 25 | munications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et        |

| 1  | seq.) for the specific purpose of carrying, rout-         |
|----|---|
| 2  | ing, or transmitting a call that constitutes such         |
| 3  | a violation would assist in the successful pre-           |
| 4  | vention and prosecution of such violations; and           |
| 5  | (G) the extent to which, if any, Depart-                  |
| 6  | ment of Justice policies to pursue the prosecu-           |
| 7  | tion of violations causing economic harm, phys-           |
| 8  | ical danger, or erosion of an inhabitant's peace          |
| 9  | of mind and sense of security inhibits the pre-           |
| 10 | vention or prosecution of such violations.                |
| 11 | (c) <u>MEMBERS.—The interagency working group</u> shall   |
| 12 | be composed of such representatives of Federal depart-    |
| 13 | ments and agencies as the Attorney General considers ap-  |
| 14 | <del>propriate, such</del> as—                            |
| 15 | (1) the Department of Commerce;                           |
| 16 | (2) the Department of State;                              |
| 17 | (3) the Department of Homeland Security;                  |
| 18 | (4) the Federal Communications Commission;                |
| 19 | (5) the Federal Trade Commission; and                     |
| 20 | (6) the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protec-              |
| 21 | tion.   |
| 22 | (d) Non-Federal Stakeholders.—In carrying                 |
| 23 | out the study under subsection (a), the interagency work- |
| 24 | ing group shall consult with such non-Federal stake-      |
| 25 | holders as the Attorney General determines have the rel-  |

evant expertise, including the National Association of At torneys General.

3 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS. Not later than 270 days
4 after the date of enactment of this Act, the interagency
5 working group shall submit to the Committee on Com6 merce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the
7 Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of
8 Representatives a report on the findings of the study
9 under subsection (a), including—

10 (1) any recommendations regarding the preven11 tion and prosecution of such violations; and

(2) a description of what progress, if any, relevant Federal departments and agencies have made
in implementing the recommendations under paragraph (1).

#### 16 SEC. 6. ACCESS TO NUMBER RESOURCES.

17 (a) IN GENERAL.

18 (1) Examination of FCC policies.—Not later 19 than 180 days after the date of enactment of this 20 Act, the Federal Communications Commission shall 21 commence a proceeding to determine whether Fed-22 eral Communications Commission policies regarding 23 access to number resources, including number re-24 sources for toll free and non-toll free telephone num-25 bers, could be modified, including by establishing registration and compliance obligations, to help re duce access to numbers by potential perpetrators of
 violations of section 227(b) of the Communications
 Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227(b)).

5 (2) REGULATIONS.—If the Federal Commu-6 nications Commission determines under paragraph 7 (1) that modifying the policies described in that 8 paragraph could help achieve the goal described in 9 that paragraph, the Commission shall prescribe reg-10 ulations to implement those policy modifications.

11 AUTHORITY.—Any person who (b)knowingly, through an employee, agent, officer, or otherwise, directly 12 or indirectly, by or through any means or device whatso-13 ever, is a party to obtaining number resources, including 14 15 number resources for toll free and non-toll free telephone numbers, from a common carrier regulated under title H 16 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et 17 seq.), in violation of a regulation prescribed under sub-18 section (a) of this section, shall, notwithstanding section 19 503(b)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 20 503(b)(5)), be subject to a forfeiture penalty under section 21 22 503 of that Act. A forfeiture penalty under this subsection shall be in addition to any other penalty provided for by 23 24 <del>law.</del>

#### 1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

2 This Act may be cited as the "Telephone Robocall
3 Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act" or the
4 "TRACED Act".

#### 5 SEC. 2. FORFEITURE.

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 227 of the Communications
7 Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227) is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the9 following:

10 "(4) CIVIL FORFEITURE.—

11 "(A) IN GENERAL.—Any person that is de-12 termined by the Commission, in accordance with 13 paragraph (3) or (4) of section 503(b), to have 14 violated any provision of this subsection shall be 15 liable to the United States for a forfeiture penalty pursuant to section 503(b)(1). The amount 16 17 of the forfeiture penalty determined under this 18 subparagraph shall be determined in accordance 19 with subparagraphs (A) through (F) of section 20 503(b)(2).

21 "(B) VIOLATION WITH INTENT.—Any per22 son that is determined by the Commission, in ac23 cordance with paragraph (3) or (4) of section
24 503(b), to have violated this subsection with the
25 intent to cause such violation shall be liable to
26 the United States for a forfeiture penalty. The

| 1  | amount of the forfeiture penalty determined        |
|----|--|
| 2  | under this subparagraph shall be equal to an       |
| 3  | amount determined in accordance with subpara-      |
| 4  | graphs (A) through (F) of section $503(b)(2)$ plus |
| 5  | an additional penalty not to exceed \$10,000.      |
| 6  | "(C) Recovery.—Any forfeiture penalty              |
| 7  | determined under subparagraph $(A)$ or $(B)$ shall |
| 8  | be recoverable under section $504(a)$ .            |
| 9  | "(D) Procedure.—No forfeiture liability            |
| 10 | shall be determined under subparagraph $(A)$ or    |
| 11 | (B) against any person unless such person re-      |
| 12 | ceives the notice required by paragraph $(3)$ or   |
| 13 | (4) of section $503(b)$ .                          |
| 14 | "(E) Statute of limitations.—No for-               |
| 15 | feiture penalty shall be determined or imposed     |
| 16 | against any person—                                |
| 17 | "(i) under subparagraph (A) if the vio-            |
| 18 | lation charged occurred more than 1 year           |
| 19 | prior to the date of issuance of the required      |
| 20 | notice or notice of apparent liability; and        |
| 21 | "( $ii$ ) under subparagraph (B) if the            |
| 22 | violation charged occurred more than 3             |
| 23 | years prior to the date of issuance of the re-     |
| 24 | quired notice or notice of apparent liability.     |

| 1  | "(F) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Notwith-                          |
|----|--|
| 2  | standing any law to the contrary, the Commis-                |
| 3  | sion may not determine or impose a forfeiture                |
| 4  | penalty on a person under both subparagraphs                 |
| 5  | (A) and (B) based on the same conduct."; and                 |
| 6  | (2) by striking subsection (h) and inserting the             |
| 7  | following:   |
| 8  | "(h) TCPA ENFORCEMENT REPORT.—The Commis-                    |
| 9  | sion shall submit an annual report to Congress regarding     |
| 10 | the enforcement during the preceding year of laws, regula-   |
| 11 | tions, and policies relating to robocalls and spoofed calls, |
| 12 | which report shall include—                                  |
| 13 | "(1) the number of complaints received by the                |
| 14 | Commission during the year alleging that a consumer          |
| 15 | received a robocall or spoofed call;                         |
| 16 | "(2) the number of citations issued by the Com-              |
| 17 | mission pursuant to section 503 during the year to           |
| 18 | enforce any law, regulation, or policy relating to a         |
| 19 | robocall or spoofed call;                                    |
| 20 | "(3) the number of notices of apparent liability             |
| 21 | issued by the Commission pursuant to section 503             |
| 22 | during the year to enforce any law, regulation, or           |
| 23 | policy relating to a robocall or spoofed call; and           |
| 24 | "(4) for each notice referred to in paragraph                |
| 25 | (3)—   |

| 1  | "(A) the amount of the proposed forfeiture                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | penalty involved;   |
| 3  | ``(B) the person to whom the notice was                     |
| 4  | issued; and   |
| 5  | "(C) the status of the proceeding.".                        |
| 6  | (b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this              |
| 7  | section shall not affect any action or proceeding commenced |
| 8  | before and pending on the date of enactment of this Act.    |
| 9  | (c) Deadline for Regulations.—The Federal Com-              |
| 10 | munications Commission shall prescribe regulations to im-   |
| 11 | plement the amendments made by this section not later than  |
| 12 | 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.           |
| 13 | SEC. 3. CALL AUTHENTICATION.                                |
| 14 | (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:                           |
| 15 | (1) STIR/SHAKEN AUTHENTICATION FRAME-                       |
| 16 | work.—The term "STIR/SHAKEN authentication                  |
| 17 | framework" means the secure telephone identity revis-       |
| 18 | ited and signature-based handling of asserted infor-        |
| 19 | mation using tokens standards proposed by the infor-        |
| 20 | mation and communications technology industry.              |
| 21 | (2) VOICE SERVICE.—The term "voice service"—                |
| 22 | (A) means any service that is inter-                        |
| 23 | connected with the public switched telephone net-           |
| 24 | work and that furnishes voice communications to             |
| 25 | an end user using resources from the North                  |

| 1  | American Numbering Plan or any successor to            |
|----|--|
| 2  | the North American Numbering Plan adopted by           |
| 3  | the Commission under section $251(e)(1)$ of the        |
| 4  | Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.                  |
| 5  | 251(e)(1)); and  |
| 6  | (B) includes—  |
| 7  | (i) transmissions from a telephone fac-                |
| 8  | simile machine, computer, or other device to           |
| 9  | a telephone facsimile machine; and                     |
| 10 | (ii) without limitation, any service                   |
| 11 | that enables real-time, two-way voice com-             |
| 12 | munications, including any service that re-            |
| 13 | quires internet protocol-compatible customer           |
| 14 | premises equipment (commonly known as                  |
| 15 | "CPE") and permits out-bound calling,                  |
| 16 | whether or not the service is one-way or               |
| 17 | two-way voice over internet protocol.                  |
| 18 | (b) AUTHENTICATION FRAMEWORK.—                         |
| 19 | (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2) and          |
| 20 | (3), not later than 18 months after the date of enact- |
| 21 | ment of this Act, the Federal Communications Com-      |
| 22 | mission shall require a provider of voice service to   |
| 23 | implement the STIR/SHAKEN authentication frame-        |
| 24 | work in the internet protocol networks of the voice    |
| 25 | service provider.                                      |

| 1  | (2) Implementation.—The Federal Commu-                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | nications Commission shall not take the action de-     |
| 3  | scribed in paragraph (1) if the Commission deter-      |
| 4  | mines that a provider of voice service, not later than |
| 5  | 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act—     |
| 6  | (A) has adopted the STIR/SHAKEN au-                    |
| 7  | thentication framework for calls on the internet       |
| 8  | protocol networks of the voice service provider;       |
| 9  | (B) has agreed voluntarily to participate              |
| 10 | with other providers of voice service in the           |
| 11 | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework;                  |
| 12 | (C) has begun to implement the STIR/                   |
| 13 | SHAKEN authentication framework; and                   |
| 14 | (D) will be capable of fully implementing              |
| 15 | $the \ STIR/SHAKEN$ $authentication$ $framework$       |
| 16 | not later than 18 months after the date of enact-      |
| 17 | ment of this Act.                                      |
| 18 | (3) Implementation report.—Not later than              |
| 19 | 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the |
| 20 | Federal Communications Commission shall submit to      |
| 21 | the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transpor-      |
| 22 | tation of the Senate and the Committee on Energy       |
| 23 | and Commerce of the House of Representatives a re-     |
| 24 | port on the determination required under paragraph     |
| 25 | (2), which shall include—                              |

| 1  | (A) an analysis of the extent to which pro-           |
|----|---|
| 2  | viders of a voice service have implemented the        |
| 3  | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework, in-             |
| 4  | cluding whether the availability of necessary         |
| 5  | equipment and equipment upgrades has im-              |
| 6  | pacted such implementation; and                       |
| 7  | (B) an assessment of the efficacy of the              |
| 8  | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework, as              |
| 9  | being implemented under this section, in ad-          |
| 10 | dressing all aspects of call authentication.          |
| 11 | (4) Review and revision or replacement.—              |
| 12 | Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of |
| 13 | this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, the Federal   |
| 14 | Communications Commission, after public notice and    |
| 15 | an opportunity for comment, shall—                    |
| 16 | (A) assess the efficacy of the call authentica-       |
| 17 | tion framework implemented under this section;        |
| 18 | (B) based on the assessment under subpara-            |
| 19 | graph (A), revise or replace the call authentica-     |
| 20 | tion framework under this section if the Commis-      |
| 21 | sion determines it is in the public interest to do    |
| 22 | so; and   |
| 23 | (C) submit to the Committee on Commerce,              |
| 24 | Science, and Transportation of the Senate and         |
| 25 | the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the           |

| 1  | House of Representatives a report on the find-       |
|----|--|
| 2  | ings of the assessment under subparagraph $(A)$      |
| 3  | and on any actions to revise or replace the call     |
| 4  | authentication framework under subparagraph          |
| 5  | <i>(B)</i> .   |
| 6  | (5) EXTENSION OF IMPLEMENTATION DEAD-                |
| 7  | LINE.—The Federal Communications Commission          |
| 8  | may extend any deadline for the implementation of a  |
| 9  | call authentication framework required under this    |
| 10 | section by 12 months or such further amount of time  |
| 11 | as the Commission determines necessary if the Com-   |
| 12 | mission determines that purchasing or upgrading      |
| 13 | equipment to support call authentication, or lack of |
| 14 | availability of such equipment, would constitute a   |
| 15 | substantial hardship in meeting such deadline for a  |
| 16 | provider or category of providers of voice service.  |
| 17 | (c) SAFE HARBOR AND OTHER REGULATIONS.—              |
| 18 | (1) IN GENERAL.—The Federal Communications           |
| 19 | Commission shall promulgate rules—                   |
| 20 | (A) establishing when a provider of voice            |
| 21 | service may block a voice call based, in whole or    |
| 22 | in part, on information provided by the call au-     |
| 23 | thentication framework under subsection (b);         |
| 24 | (B) establishing a safe harbor for a provider        |
| 25 | of voice service from liability for unintended or    |

| 1  | inadvertent blocking of calls or for the unin-         |
|----|--|
| 2  | tended or inadvertent misidentification of the         |
| 3  | level of trust for individual calls based, in whole    |
| 4  | or in part, on information provided by the call        |
| 5  | authentication framework under subsection (b);         |
| 6  | and  |
| 7  | (C) establishing a process to permit a call-           |
| 8  | ing party adversely affected by the information        |
| 9  | provided by the call authentication framework          |
| 10 | under subsection (b) to verify the authenticity of     |
| 11 | the calling party's calls.                             |
| 12 | (2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In establishing the safe           |
| 13 | harbor under paragraph (1), the Federal Communica-     |
| 14 | tions Commission shall consider limiting the liability |
| 15 | of a provider of voice service based on the extent to  |
| 16 | which the provider of voice service—                   |
| 17 | (A) blocks or identifies calls based, in whole         |
| 18 | or in part, on the information provided by the         |
| 19 | call authentication framework under subsection         |
| 20 | (b);   |
| 21 | (B) implemented procedures based, in whole             |
| 22 | or in part, on the information provided by the         |
| 23 | call authentication framework under subsection         |
| 24 | (b); and   |
| 25 | (C) used reasonable care.                              |

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section
 shall preclude the Federal Communications Commission
 from initiating a rulemaking pursuant to its existing statu tory authority.

#### 5 SEC. 4. PROTECTIONS FROM SPOOFED CALLS.

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date 7 of enactment of this Act, and consistent with the call au-8 thentication framework under section 3, the Federal Com-9 munications Commission shall initiate a rulemaking to 10 help protect a subscriber from receiving unwanted calls or 11 text messages from a caller using an unauthenticated num-12 ber.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In promulgating rules under
subsection (a), the Federal Communications Commission
shall consider—

16 (1) the Government Accountability Office report
17 on combating the fraudulent provision of misleading
18 or inaccurate caller identification required by section
19 503(c) of division P of the Consolidated Appropria20 tions Act 2018 (Public Law 115–141);

(2) the best means of ensuring that a subscriber
or provider has the ability to block calls from a caller
using an unauthenticated North American Numbering
Plan number;

(3) the impact on the privacy of a subscriber 1 2 from unauthenticated calls: 3 (4) the effectiveness in verifying the accuracy of 4 caller identification information; and (5) the availability and cost of providing protec-5 6 tion from the unwanted calls or text messages de-7 scribed in subsection (a). 8 SEC. 5. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP. 9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General, in consultation with the Chairman of the Federal Communications 10 11 Commission, shall convene an interagency working group

13 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.
14 227(b)).

to study Government prosecution of violations of section

(b) DUTIES.—In carrying out the study under subsection (a), the interagency working group shall—

(1) determine whether, and if so how, any Federal laws, including regulations, policies, and practices, or budgetary or jurisdictional constraints inhibit the prosecution of such violations;

(2) identify existing and potential Federal policies and programs that encourage and improve coordination among Federal departments and agencies
and States, and between States, in the prevention and
prosecution of such violations;

| 1  | (3) identify existing and potential international    |
|----|--|
| 2  | policies and programs that encourage and improve     |
| 3  | coordination between countries in the prevention and |
| 4  | prosecution of such violations; and                  |
| 5  | (4) consider—  |
| 6  | (A) the benefit and potential sources of ad-         |
| 7  | ditional resources for the Federal prevention and    |
| 8  | prosecution of criminal violations of that section;  |
| 9  | (B) whether to establish memoranda of un-            |
| 10 | derstanding regarding the prevention and pros-       |
| 11 | ecution of such violations between—                  |
| 12 | (i) the States;                                      |
| 13 | (ii) the States and the Federal Govern-              |
| 14 | ment; and  |
| 15 | (iii) the Federal Government and a                   |
| 16 | foreign government;                                  |
| 17 | (C) whether to establish a process to allow          |
| 18 | States to request Federal subpoenas from the         |
| 19 | Federal Communications Commission;                   |
| 20 | (D) whether extending civil enforcement au-          |
| 21 | thority to the States would assist in the success-   |
| 22 | ful prevention and prosecution of such violations;   |
| 23 | (E) whether increased forfeiture and im-             |
| 24 | prisonment penalties are appropriate, such as        |

1 extending imprisonment for such a violation to 2 a term longer than 2 years; (F) whether regulation of any entity that 3 4 enters into a business arrangement with a com-5 mon carrier regulated under title II of the Com-6 munications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) 7 for the specific purpose of carrying, routing, or 8 transmitting a call that constitutes such a viola-9 tion would assist in the successful prevention 10 and prosecution of such violations; and 11 (G) the extent to which, if any, Department 12 of Justice policies to pursue the prosecution of 13 violations causing economic harm, physical dan-14 ger, or erosion of an inhabitant's peace of mind 15 and sense of security inhibits the prevention or 16 prosecution of such violations. 17 (c) MEMBERS.—The interagency working group shall be composed of such representatives of Federal departments 18 19 and agencies as the Attorney General considers appropriate, 20 such as— 21 (1) the Department of Commerce; 22 (2) the Department of State; 23 (3) the Department of Homeland Security; 24 (4) the Federal Communications Commission:

25 (5) the Federal Trade Commission; and

(6) the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protec tion.

3 (d) NON-FEDERAL STAKEHOLDERS.—In carrying out
4 the study under subsection (a), the interagency working
5 group shall consult with such non-Federal stakeholders as
6 the Attorney General determines have the relevant expertise,
7 including the National Association of Attorneys General.

8 (e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 270 days 9 after the date of enactment of this Act, the interagency 10 working group shall submit to the Committee on Commerce, 11 Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Com-12 mittee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Represent-13 atives a report on the findings of the study under subsection 14 (a), including—

15 (1) any recommendations regarding the preven16 tion and prosecution of such violations; and

(2) a description of what progress, if any, relevant Federal departments and agencies have made in
implementing the recommendations under paragraph
(1).

#### 21 SEC. 6. ACCESS TO NUMBER RESOURCES.

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) EXAMINATION OF FCC POLICIES.—Not later
than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act,
the Federal Communications Commission shall com-

| 1 | mence a proceeding to determine whether Federal          |
|---|--|
| 2 | Communications Commission policies regarding ac-         |
| 3 | cess to number resources, including number resources     |
| 4 | for toll free and non-toll free telephone numbers, could |
| 5 | be modified, including by establishing registration      |
| 6 | and compliance obligations, to help reduce access to     |
| 7 | numbers by potential perpetrators of violations of sec-  |
| 8 | tion 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47        |
| 9 | U.S.C. 227(b)).  |
|   |  |

10 (2) REGULATIONS.—If the Federal Communica-11 tions Commission determines under paragraph (1) 12 that modifying the policies described in that para-13 graph could help achieve the goal described in that 14 paragraph, the Commission shall prescribe regula-15 tions to implement those policy modifications.

16 (b) AUTHORITY.—Any person who knowingly, through 17 an employee, agent, officer, or otherwise, directly or indi-18 rectly, by or through any means or device whatsoever, is 19 a party to obtaining number resources, including number resources for toll free and non-toll free telephone numbers, 20 21 from a common carrier regulated under title II of the Com-22 munications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), in viola-23 tion of a regulation prescribed under subsection (a) of this 24 section, shall, notwithstanding section 503(b)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 503(b)(5)), be subject 25

- 1 to a forfeiture penalty under section 503 of that Act. A for-
- 2 feiture penalty under this subsection shall be in addition
- 3 to any other penalty provided for by law.

Calendar No. 94

116TH CONGRESS S. 151 1ST SESSION S. 151 [Report No. 116-41]

# A BILL

To deter criminal robocall violations and improve enforcement of section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, and for other purposes.

 $M_{AY} 21, 2019$ 

Reported with an amendment