115TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. 2980

To improve the missile defense capabilities of the United States, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

May 24, 2018

Mr. Sullivan (for himself, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Peters, Mr. Cruz, and Mr. Cotton) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To improve the missile defense capabilities of the United States, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Integrated Missile De-
- 5 fense Act of 2018".
- 6 SEC. 2. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON ACCELERATION OF MIS-
- 7 SILE DEFENSE CAPABILITIES.
- 8 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
- 9 ings:

- (1) During the past six years, under the regime of Kim Jong-un, North Korea has conducted approximately 100 ballistic missile tests and four nuclear tests.
 - (2) Over the last few years, North Korea has made deliberate and rapid progress in developing their medium-range ballistic missiles (MRBMs), intermediate-range ballistic missiles (IRBM), submarine-launched ballistic missiles (SLBM), and intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM), including the first-ever launches of two different intercontinental-range ballistic missiles (ICBM) and six launches of an intermediate-range ballistic missile (IRBM).
 - (3) As the only country to test nuclear weapons in the 21st century, last year North Korea detonated its sixth and largest nuclear device, estimated at over 140 kilotons in yield, and has threatened to conduct an air burst of a nuclear warhead mated to one of its long-range ballistic missiles.
 - (4) A report from Johns Hopkins University, published in 2015, and entitled "North Korea's Nuclear Futures: Technology and Strategy", concluded that, by 2020, North Korea could have as many as 100 nuclear weapons.

- 1 (5) The United States currently has 44 oper-2 ational ground-based interceptors distributed be-3 tween Fort Greely, Alaska, and Vandenberg Air 4 Force Base, California.
 - (6) Section 1686 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (Public Law 115–91) authorizes up 28 additional ground-based interceptors (GBIs) and begins the deployment of 20 additional ground-based interceptors to Fort Greely.
 - (7) In September 2017, Congress approved a Department of Defense reprogramming of fiscal year 2017 funding of more than \$400,000,000 to counter the North Korean missile threat.
 - (8) In November 2017, the President submitted an amendment to his fiscal year 2018 budget request, which Congress subsequently approved, for \$4,000,000,000 for missile defeat and defense, including funding to begin the construction of a new missile field at Fort Greely, Alaska, and additional procurement funding necessary for 20 new ground-based interceptors to be fully deployed by 2023.
 - (9) The President's budget proposal for fiscal year 2019 includes \$9,900,000,000 for the Missile Defense Agency and \$3,000,000,000 for air and

- 1 missile defense activities in the military depart-2 ments.
- 3 (10) The 2018 National Defense Strategy 4 states that in order to "deliver performance at the 5 speed of relevance . . . [W]e must not accept cum-6 bersome approval chains, wasteful applications of re-7 sources in uncompetitive space, or overly risk-averse 8 thinking that impedes change.".
- 9 (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the 10 Senate that the Missile Defense Agency should—
- 11 (1) accelerate the fielding, if technically fea-12 sible, of the planned additional 20 ground-based 13 interceptors with Redesigned Kill Vehicles (RKV) at 14 Missile Field 4 at Fort Greely, Alaska, and to mate 15 the Redesigned Kill Vehicles with the newest booster 16 technology;
 - (2) weigh the rapid growth in missile and nuclear threats against the cost and risk of accelerating the Redesigned Kill Vehicle and the Multi-Object Kill Vehicle development and deployment;
 - (3) ensure, prior to its operational deployment, that the Redesigned Kill Vehicle has demonstrated the ability to accomplish its intended mission through a successful, operationally realistic flight test;

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	(4) rapidly develop and deploy a persistent,
2	space-based sensor architecture to ensure our missile
3	defenses are more effective against ballistic missile
4	threats and more responsive to new and emergent
5	threats from hypersonic and cruise missiles;
6	(5) pursue innovative concepts for existing tech-

- (5) pursue innovative concepts for existing technologies, such as a missile defense role for the F–35 aircraft; and
- (6) invest in advanced technologies, such as boost-phase warning, tracking, and intercept.

(c) Report.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on ways the Missile Defense Agency can accelerate the construction of Missile Field 4 at Fort Greely, Alaska, as well as the deployment of 20 ground-based interceptors with Redesigned Kill Vehicles (RKV) at such missile field, by at least one year.
- (2) CONTENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:
- 23 (A) A threat-based description of the bene-24 fits and risks of accelerating the construction 25 and deployment referred to in paragraph (1).

1	(B) A description of the technical and ac
2	quisition risks and potential effects on the reli
3	ability of the Redesigned Kill Vehicle if deploy
4	ment is accelerated as described in paragraph
5	(1).
6	(C) A description of the cost implications
7	of accelerating the construction and deployment
8	referred to in paragraph (1).
9	(D) A description of the effect such accel
10	eration would have on the Redesigned Kill Vehi
11	cle flight test schedule and the overall Inte
12	grated Master Test Plan.
13	(E) A description of the effect that the ac
14	celeration described in paragraph (1) would
15	have on re-tipping currently deployed exoatmo
16	spheric kill vehicles with the Redesigned Kil
17	Vehicle.
18	(F) A description of how such acceleration
19	would align with the deployment of the long
20	range discrimination radar and the homeland
21	defense radar-Hawaii.
22	(G) A cost-benefit analysis and a feasibility
23	assessment for construction of a fifth missile

field at Fort Greely, Alaska.

1	(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
2	(1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
3	include a classified annex.
4	SEC. 3. DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF PERSISTENT
5	SPACE-BASED SENSOR ARCHITECTURE.
6	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
7	ings:
8	(1) The Missile Defense Agency currently oper-
9	ates the Space Tracking and Surveillance System-
10	Demonstration (STSS-D), a two-satellite constella-
11	tion for testing purposes, which uses sensors capable
12	of detecting visible and infrared light and serves as
13	an experimental space tracker for the ballistic mis-
14	sile defense system.
15	(2) Conceptually developed in 2009, the Preci-
16	sion Tracking Space (PTSS) would have provided
17	the persistent space-based tracking of ballistic mis-
18	siles, including object characterization and discrimi-
19	nation, and would have also supported homeland, re-
20	gional, and theater missile defense.
21	(3) Projected to enter orbit in 2018, the Missile
22	Defense Agency and the Applied Physics Laboratory
23	of Johns Hopkins University is currently conducting
24	a Space-Based Kill Assessment (SKA) experiment, a

network of small sensors hosted on commercial sat-

- ellites, used to collect the energy signature of the impact between a ballistic missile threat and an interceptor from the ballistic missile defense system.
 - (4) Section 236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) required the Secretary of Defense to conduct an evaluation of options and alternatives for future sensor architectures for ballistic missile defense in order to enhance the ballistic missile defense capabilities of the United States.
 - (5) General John Hyten, Commander of the United States Strategic Command, has argued for the "deployment of a global space-based sensor system with discrimination capability" as a "critical component to improving the effectiveness of our deployed interceptors" to "conduct both the characterization of these new threats . . . as well as discriminate better and earlier the mid-course element of the threat that exists today", and finally to "target against . . . hypersonic capabilities [and] other capabilities in the boost phase.".
 - (6) Admiral James Syring, the former Director of the Missile Defense Agency, has stated, "From a missile defense perspective, we have to develop a future operational space layer. Given where the threat

- 1 is going with hypersonics and more ICBMs and so
- 2 forth this persistent tracking and discrimination ca-
- 3 pability from space is a must.".
- 4 (7) General Samuel Greaves, the current Direc-
- 5 tor of the Missile Defense Agency, has stated, that
- 6 space-based sensors are "absolutely critical for the
- 7 real threat that we see in front of us, the hypersonic
- 8 threat".
- 9 (b) Dissociation With Ballistic Missile De-
- 10 FENSE REVIEW.—Subsection (a) of section 1683 of the
- 11 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018
- 12 (Public Law 115–91) is amended by striking "If con-
- 13 sistent" and all that follows through "develop" and insert-
- 14 ing "the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall, in
- 15 coordination with the Secretary of the Air Force and the
- 16 Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects
- 17 Agency, commence developing".
- 18 (c) Deployment.—Such subsection is further
- 19 amended—
- 20 (1) by striking "(A) IN GENERAL.—" and in-
- 21 serting the following:
- 22 "(a) Development and Deployment.—
- 23 "(1) DEVELOPMENT.—"; and
- 24 (2) by adding at the end the following new
- paragraph:

1	"(2) Deployment.—The Director of the Mis-
2	sile Defense Agency shall ensure that the sensor ar-
3	chitecture developed under paragraph (1) is deployed
4	as soon as practicable.".
5	(d) Compatibility With Efforts of Defense
6	ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY.—Such section
7	is amended—
8	(1) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as
9	subsection (f) and (g), respectively; and
10	(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the fol-
11	lowing new subsection (e):
12	"(e) Compatibility With Efforts of Defense
13	ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY.—The Direc-
14	tor shall ensure that the sensor architecture developed
15	under subsection (a) is compatible with efforts of the De-
16	fense Advanced Research Projects Agency relating to
17	space-based sensors for missile defense.".
18	(e) Report on Progress.—
19	(1) In general.—Not later than 90 days after
20	the date of the enactment of this Act, Secretary of
21	Defense shall submit to the congressional defense
22	committees a report on the progress of all efforts
23	being made by the Missile Defense Agency, the De-
24	fense Advanced Research Projects Agency, and the
25	Air Force relating to space-based sensing and track-

1	ing capabilities for missile defense and how each of
2	such organizations will work together to avoid dupli-
3	cation of efforts.
4	(2) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
5	(1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
6	include a classified annex.
7	SEC. 4. INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE FOR
8	EVOLVING THEATER MISSILE THREATS.
9	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
10	ings:
11	(1) The December 2017 National Security
12	Strategy (NSS) states, "great power competition
13	[has] returned [as] China and Russia began to re-
14	assert their influence regionally and globally".
15	(2) Additionally, such strategy states that
16	China and Russia are "fielding military capabilities
17	designed to deny America access in times of crisis
18	and to contest our ability to operate freely in critical
19	commercial zones during peacetime" with the goal of
20	"contesting [United States] geopolitical advantages
21	and trying to change the international order in their
22	favor''.
23	(3) The 2018 National Defense Strategy states
24	that—

- 1 (A) "[t]he central challenge to U.S. pros2 perity and security is the reemergence of long3 term, strategic competition by what the Na4 tional Security Strategy classifies as revisionist
 5 powers";
 6 (B) "[i]t is increasingly clear that China
 - (B) "[i]t is increasingly clear that China and Russia want to shape a world consistent with their authoritarian model—gaining veto authority over other nations' economic, diplomatic, and security decisions";
 - (C) "[1]ong-term strategic competitions with China and Russia are the principal priorities for the Department, and require both increased and sustained investment, because of the magnitude of the threats they pose to U.S. security and prosperity today, and the potential for those threats to increase in the future"; and
 - (D) "[i]nvestments [on missile defense] will focus on layered missile defenses and disruptive capabilities for both theater missile threats and North Korean ballistic missile threats".
 - (4) Among his priorities for missile defense upgrades, General John Hyten stated that the United States needs to "increase the robustness of regional

- missile defense capability and capacity including deployment of the Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense and the Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) capabilities and implementation of recommendations from the Department's Joint Regional Integrated
- 6 Air and Missile Defense Capability Mix (JRICM)
- 7 study".

- (5) General Curtis Scaparrotti, Commander of United States European Command (USEUCOM) stated, "It is essential that our assigned and rotational multi-domain forces are protected by a robust, layered [integrated air and missile defense (IAMD)] capability . . . Our approach to IAMD must be inclusive with our NATO allies and key partners as we face a growing ballistic missile threat from regional adversaries.".
 - (6) Admiral Harry Harris, Commander of United States Pacific Command, stated, "USPACOM will continue working with Japan, the ROK, and Australia to improve our level of staff coordination and information sharing with the goal of creating a fully-integrated Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) architecture that addresses the increasing cruise missile threat.".

1	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the Sense of the
2	Senate that—
3	(1) the United States should utilize regional
4	missile defense assets to counter and deter against
5	cruise, short-to-medium-range ballistic, and hyper-
6	sonic missile threats;
7	(2) the United States should continue to rapidly
8	work toward the interoperability of all United States
9	missile defense systems for a more effective layered
10	defense; and
11	(3) the United States Army should increase its
12	attention, focus, and resources developing an inte-
13	grated air-and-missile defense architecture to protect
14	both land air forces from cruise, short-to-me-
15	dium-range ballistic, and hypersonic missile threats.
16	(c) Report.—
17	(1) In general.—Not later than 90 days after
18	the date of the enactment of this Act, if consistent
19	with the direction or recommendations of the Missile
20	Defense Review that commenced in 2017, the Sec-

defense committees a report on the Department's plan for the creation of a fully interoperable and integrated air and missile defense architecture.

retary of Defense shall submit to the congressional

1	(2) Elements of the report re-
2	quired by paragraph (1) are as follows:
3	(A) An intelligence assessment of cruise
4	short-to-medium-range ballistic, and hypersonic
5	missile threats to the United States and its de-
6	ployed forces.
7	(B) An examination of current United
8	States capabilities to defeat the threats included
9	in the report required by subparagraph (A) and
10	an analysis of the existing capability and re-
11	source gaps.
12	(C) An analysis of the level of integration
13	and interoperability of United States missile de-
14	fense systems and the future requirements
15	needed to become fully integrated and inter-
16	operable to defeat the threats included in the
17	report required by subparagraph (A).
18	(D) A description of the current state of
19	survivability of United States missile defense
20	systems against the full spectrum of air and
21	missile threats from near-peer threats and any
22	planned efforts to increase survivability.
23	(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
24	(1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may

include a classified annex.

SEC. 5. ACCELERATION OF HYPERSONIC MISSILE DEFENSE

1	SEC. 5. ACCELERATION OF HYPERSONIC MISSILE DEFENSE
2	PROGRAM.
3	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
4	ings:
5	(1) General Joe Dunford, Chairman of the
6	Joint Chiefs of Staff, stated, "The United States
7	military is in a fierce competition to harness the
8	benefits of emerging technologies, including hyper-
9	sonics as these developments will fundamentally
10	change the character of war.".
11	(2) General John Hyten, Commander of United
12	States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) stated,
13	"China is swiftly developing and testing a hyperson-
14	ic-glide vehicle capability, a technology used to de-
15	feat ballistic missile defenses.".
16	(3) General Hyten also stated, "President
17	Putin announced Russia's development of a
18	maneuverable hypersonic glide vehicle," which "only
19	reinforce Russia's commitment to develop weapons
20	designed to intimidate and coerce the U.S. and its
21	allies.".
22	(4) Admiral Harry Harris, Commander of
23	USPACOM stated, "China and Russia continue to
24	develop and operationally field advanced counter-
25	intervention technologies which include fielding and

testing of highly maneuverable re-entry vehicle/war-

1 head (i.e., hypersonic weapons) capabilities that 2 challenge U.S. strategic, operational, and tactical freedom of movement and maneuver. China and 3 4 Russia also present other notable challenges in the form of cruise missiles and small-unmanned aircraft 5 6 systems (s-UAS) which fly different trajectories, 7 making them hard to detect, acquire, track, and 8 intercept.". 9 (b) Acceleration of Program.—The Director of 10 the Missile Defense Agency shall accelerate the hypersonic missile defense program of the Missile Defense Agency. 12 (c) Deployment.—The Director shall deploy such 13 program in conjunction with a persistent space-based missile defense sensor program. 14 15 (d) Report.— 16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after 17 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director 18 shall submit to the congressional defense committees 19 a report on how hypersonic missile defense can be 20 accelerated to meet emerging hypersonic threats. 21 (2) Contents.—The report submitted under 22 paragraph (1) shall include the following: 23 (A) An estimate of the cost of such accel-

•S 2980 IS

eration.

1	(B) The technical requirements and acqui-
2	sition plan needed for the Director to develop
3	and deploy a hypersonic missile defense pro-
4	gram.
5	(C) A testing campaign plan that acceler-
6	ates the delivery of hypersonic defense systems
7	to the warfighter.
8	(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
9	(1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
10	include a classified annex.
11	SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON ALLIED PARTNERSHIPS
12	FOR MISSILE DEFENSE.
13	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
14	ings:
15	(1) At the 2010 Lisbon Summit, the North At-
16	lantic Treaty Organization (NATO) agreed to de-
17	velop a missile defense capability to protect North
18	Atlantic Treaty Organization European populations,
19	territory, and armed forces against the threats posed
20	by the proliferation of ballistic missiles by Iran.
21	(2) The United States contribution to that
22	North Atlantic Treaty Organization effort is the Eu-
23	ropean Phased Adaptive Approach (EPAA), which
24	includes the deployment of a Terminal High Altitude
25	Area Defense (THAAD) radar in Turkey, the de-

- ployment of Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense ships in Europe, the deployment of an Aegis Ashore in Romania, and a second Aegis Ashore site in Poland, which will be completed by 2020.
 - (3) Currently, ballistic missile defense-capable Aegis ships are operating in European waters to defend Europe from potential ballistic missile attacks from countries such as Iran.
 - (4) Additional ballistic missile defense-capable Aegis ships are operating in the Western Pacific and the Persian Gulf to provide regional defense against potential ballistic missile attacks from countries such as North Korea and Iran.
 - (5) In early 2017, United States Pacific Command (USPACOM) and United States Forces-Korea (USFK) deployed a Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) battery to the Korean peninsula in 2017 that is now fully operational.
 - (6) In December 2017, Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe's Cabinet agreed to purchase two United States-made Aegis Ashore batteries to defend against "North Korea's nuclear missile development . . . a new level of threat to Japan.".
- 24 (7) Under Secretary of Defense John Rood 25 stated, "We are also encouraging our allies and

- partners in Europe, the Middle East and Near East
 Asia to acquire missile defense capabilities, and to
 strengthen missile defense cooperation in order to
 move towards a more interoperable and integrated
 missile defense architecture against hostile ballistic
 and cruise missile threats.".
 - (8) General Vincent Brooks, Commander of United States Forces-Korea stated that "Increasing interoperability with [Republic of Korea (ROK)] systems is a key part of improving Alliance missile defense, including program upgrades to the ROK Patriot system and procurement of PAC-3 interceptors. As North Korea continues to improve its missile forces, the ROK-United States Alliance must also continue to expand its BMD capabilities.".
 - (9) General James Dickinson, Commander of United States Army Space and Missile Defense Command, stated that "integrating allies into a common and mutually supportive [missile defense] architecture is a critical warfighter priority", and events like the NIMBLE TITAN campaign—the world's premier strategic and military policy missile defense event—"fosters greater confidence in combined missile defenses and provide a means to advance U.S. efforts in collaboration, integration,

1	interoperability, and burden sharing with our allies
2	and partners.".
3	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
4	Senate that—
5	(1) the United States should seek additional op-
6	portunities, at the tactical, operational, and strategic
7	levels, to provide missile defense capabilities, doc-
8	trine, interoperability, and planning to allies and
9	trusted partners of the United States;
10	(2) an expedited foreign military sales arrange-
11	ment would be beneficial in delivering such missile
12	defenses to allies and trusted partners; and
13	(3) it is important to continue to work with al-
14	lies and trusted partners, such as Israel, to learn
15	from their experience deploying successful missile
16	defense technologies.
17	SEC. 7. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON RESULTS OF TESTS CAR-
18	RIED OUT BY MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.
19	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
20	ings:
21	(1) General John Hyten, Commander of the
22	United States Strategic Command, stated that
23	North Korea is quickly advancing their missile and
24	nuclear technology because their rapid testing ca-

- dence allows them to quickly apply lessons learned in testing to advance new capabilities.
 - (2) Before the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, General Hyten stated, "If you look at what North Korea's doing; test, fail, test, fail. And I look at what I did when I was a younger officer in the space business, that's how you go fast. [Carl] Von Braun in the early days the rocket business, he had a 60 percent failure rate; maybe the greatest rocket scientist of all time. Can you imagine if [the Missile Defense Agency] had a 60 percent failure rate, what the—what the newspapers would say?".
 - (3) General Hyten characterized the current irregular testing environment in the United States as "the wrong kind of testing environment" due to risk-aversion and fear of failure.
 - (4) Regular missile defense testing, including ground testing and non-intercept tests, not only improves the missile defense system, but also gives the members of the Armed Forces experience with and confidence in their tactics, techniques, and procedures.
 - (5) Section 1690 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (Public Law 115–91) states that "Director of the Missile Defense

- Agency should continue to focus testing campaigns on delivering increased capabilities to the Armed Forces as quickly as possible and . . . should seek to establish a more prudent balance between risk mitigation and the more rapid testing pace needed to quickly develop and deliver new capabilities to the Armed Forces.".
- 8 (6) Regarding a needed shift to a less-risk ad-9 verse missile defense testing culture, Under Sec-10 retary of Defense John Rood stated, "I think in 11 some ways when we look at our allies like Israel and 12 their test regimen, they're much more willing to go 13 back out to the test range, begin a flight test regi-14 men, work through their issues, understanding there 15 are going to be bumps in the road . . . I certainly 16 second the approach [of a less-risk adverse testing 17 culture].".
- 18 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the 19 Senate that—
 - (1) tests carried out by the Missile Defense Agency, which do not achieve an intercept or the main objective, should not be considered failures if they contribute to the advancement of the capability;
- 24 (2) the Missile Defense Agency—in an effort to 25 deliver capabilities at the speed of relevance—should

21

22

- recognize the learning value of individual advancements made by all test events, rather than viewing any total outcome as an indication of the reliability of entire missile defense systems;
 - (3) the Missile Defense Agency should, as part of its test program, continue to build an independently accredited modeling and simulation element to better inform missile defense performance assessments and test criteria; and
- 10 (4) the Missile Defense Agency should continue 11 to pursue an increasingly rigorous testing regime, in 12 coordination with the Office of the Director, Oper-13 ational Test and Evaluation, to more rapidly deliver 14 capabilities to the warfighter as the threat evolves.

15 SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON DISCRIMINATION FOR

16 MISSILE DEFENSE.

6

7

8

- 17 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-18 ings:
- 19 General Lori Robinson, Commander of 20 United States Northern Command 21 (USNORTHCOM), stated, "I continue to prioritize 22 improvements to the intercontinental ballistic missile 23 defense sensor architecture to enhance system resil-24 iency and target discrimination . . . As our adver-25 saries develop and field more sophisticated inter-

- 1 continental ballistic missiles, improved target dis-2 crimination will improve the likelihood of a success-3 ful engagement.".
 - (2) General Robinson also stated, "Improved discrimination capability will increase the likelihood of a successful intercept, and the Missile Defense Agency is developing additional radars such as the Long Range Discrimination Radar in Alaska and a persistent radar on Hawaii, both of which will provide improved target discrimination and a more survivable sensor network."
 - (3) General Samuel Greaves, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, stated, "In addition, improvements in sensor coverage to include the long-range discrimination radar in Clear, Alaska, the addition of homeland defense radar in Hawaii, if it's approved, and planning for a homeland defense radar in the Pacific, as well as advanced discrimination improvements, will enable the United States to improve protection of the homeland.".
 - (4) In the President's proposed budget for fiscal year 2019, the Missile Defense Agency requested the following:
- 24 (A) \$220,900,000 to continue the develop-25 ment of advanced discrimination for the AN/

- TPY-2, Sea-Based X-band (SBX) radar, and the Upgraded Early Warning Radars (UEWRs) to counter evolving threats.
 - (B) \$164,600,000 to continue development of the Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR) and \$174,000,000 for additional military construction for the Long Range Discrimination Radar to provide persistent long-range midcourse discrimination, precision tracking, and hit assessment and improve ballistic missile defense system target discrimination capability while supporting a more efficient utilization of the ground-based midcourse defense interceptor inventory.
 - (C) \$62,200,000 in fiscal year 2019 for the Homeland Defense Radar-Hawaii (HDR-H) and \$33.500,000 on for the Homeland Defense Radar-Pacific (HDR-P) to close coverage gaps in the Pacific architecture and provide persistent long-range acquisition and midcourse discrimination, precision tracking, and hit assessment to support the defense of the homeland against long-range missile threats.
 - (5) As a part of its Fiscal Year 2019 Unfunded Priorities List submitted to Congress, the Missile

1	Defense Agency also requested an additional
2	\$126,000,000 to develop advanced discrimination ca-
	•
3	pabilities and high-fidelity digital modeling and sim-
4	ulation enhancements.
5	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the
6	Senate that prioritizing discrimination capabilities to im-
7	prove missile defense effectiveness against current and fu-
8	ture threats is critically important.
9	(c) Report.—
10	(1) In general.—Not later than 90 days after
11	the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director
12	of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the
13	congressional defense committees a report on the fol-
14	lowing:
15	(A) Needed discrimination improvements
16	within the missile defense architecture.
17	(B) The Missile Defense Agency's plan to
18	rapidly field advanced discrimination capabili-
19	ties.
20	(C) An analysis of efforts to address dis-
21	crimination challenges against emerging adver-
22	sary threats, including hypersonic and cruise
23	missiles.

- 1 (2) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
 2 (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
 3 include a classified annex.
- 4 SEC. 9. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES DEFINED.
- 5 In this Act, the term "congressional defense commit-
- 6 tees" has the meaning given such term in section 101 of
- 7 title 10, United States Code.

 \bigcirc