116TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION S.485

To amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 14, 2019

Mr. CASEY (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. LEAHY, and Ms. COLLINS) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

A BILL

- To amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Protecting Older

5 Workers Against Discrimination Act".

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

1 (1) In enacting section 107 of the Civil Rights 2 Act of 1991 (adding section 703(m) of the Civil 3 Rights Act of 1964), Congress reaffirmed its under-4 standing that unlawful discrimination is often dif-5 ficult to detect and prove because those who dis-6 criminate do not usually admit their discrimination 7 and often try to conceal their true motives. Section 8 703(m) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 expressly ap-9 proved so-called "mixed motive" claims, providing 10 that an unlawful employment practice is established 11 when a protected characteristic was a motivating 12 factor for any employment practice, even though 13 other factors also motivated the practice.

14 (2) Congress enacted amendments to other civil
15 rights statutes, including the Age Discrimination in
16 Employment Act of 1967 (referred to in this section
17 as the "ADEA"), the Americans with Disabilities
18 Act of 1990, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, but
19 Congress did not expressly amend those statutes to
20 address mixed motive discrimination.

(3) In the case of Gross v. FBL Financial Services, Inc., 557 U.S. 167 (2009), the Supreme Court
held that, because Congress did not expressly amend
the ADEA to address mixed motive claims, such
claims were unavailable under the ADEA, and in-

 $\mathbf{2}$

1	stead the complainant bears the burden of proving
2	that a protected characteristic or protected activity
3	was the "but for" cause of an unlawful employment
4	practice. This decision has significantly narrowed
5	the scope of protections afforded by the statutes that
6	were not expressly amended in 1991 to address
7	mixed motive claims.
8	(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are—
9	(1) to clarify congressional intent that mixed
10	motive claims shall be available, and that a com-
11	plaining party need not prove that a protected char-
12	acteristic or protected activity was the "but for"
13	cause of an unlawful employment practice, under the
14	ADEA and similar civil rights provisions;
15	(2) to reject the Supreme Court's reasoning in
16	the Gross decision that Congress' failure to amend
17	any statute other than title VII of the Civil Rights
18	Act of 1964 (with respect to discrimination claims),
19	in enacting section 107 of the Civil Rights Act of
20	1991, suggests that Congress intended to disallow
21	mixed motive claims under other statutes; and
22	(3) to clarify that complaining parties—
23	(A) may rely on any type or form of ad-
24	missible evidence to establish their claims of an
25	unlawful employment practice;

1	(B) are not required to demonstrate that
2	the protected characteristic or activity was the
3	sole cause of the employment practice; and
4	(C) may demonstrate an unlawful employ-
5	ment practice through any available method of
6	proof or analytical framework.
7	SEC. 3. STANDARDS OF PROOF.
8	(a) Age Discrimination in Employment Act of
9	1967.—
10	(1) CLARIFYING PROHIBITION AGAINST IMPER-
11	MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF AGE IN EMPLOYMENT
12	PRACTICES.—Section 4 of the Age Discrimination in
13	Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. 623) is amend-
14	ed by inserting after subsection (f) the following:
15	(g)(1) Except as otherwise provided in this Act, and
16	unlawful practice is established under this Act when the
17	complaining party demonstrates that age or an activity
18	protected by subsection (d) was a motivating factor for
19	any practice, even though other factors also motivated the
20	practice.
21	((2) In establishing an unlawful practice under this
22	Act, including under paragraph (1) or by any other meth-
23	od of proof, a complaining party—

24 "(A) may rely on any type or form of admis-25 sible evidence and need only produce evidence suffi-

1	cient for a reasonable trier of fact to find that an
2	unlawful practice occurred under this Act; and
3	"(B) shall not be required to demonstrate that
4	age or an activity protected by subsection (d) was
5	the sole cause of a practice.".
6	(2) Remedies.—Section 7 of such Act (29)
7	U.S.C. 626) is amended—
8	(A) in subsection (b)—
9	(i) in the first sentence, by striking
10	"The" and inserting "(1) The";
11	(ii) in the third sentence, by striking
12	"Amounts" and inserting the following:
13	"(2) Amounts";
14	(iii) in the fifth sentence, by striking
15	"Before" and inserting the following:
16	"(4) Before"; and
17	(iv) by inserting before paragraph (4),
18	as designated by clause (iii) of this sub-
19	paragraph, the following:
20	"(3) On a claim in which an individual demonstrates
21	that age was a motivating factor for any employment prac-
22	tice, under section $4(g)(1)$, and a respondent demonstrates
23	that the respondent would have taken the same action in
24	the absence of the impermissible motivating factor, the
25	court—

1	"(A) may grant declaratory relief, injunctive re-
2	lief (except as provided in subparagraph (B)), and
3	attorney's fees and costs demonstrated to be directly
4	attributable only to the pursuit of a claim under sec-
5	tion $4(g)(1)$; and
6	"(B) shall not award damages or issue an order
7	requiring any admission, reinstatement, hiring, pro-
8	motion, or payment."; and
9	(B) in subsection (c)(1), by striking "Any"
10	and inserting "Subject to subsection $(b)(3)$,
11	any".
12	(3) DEFINITIONS.—Section 11 of such Act (29)
13	U.S.C. 630) is amended by adding at the end the
14	following:
15	"(m) The term 'demonstrates' means meets the bur-
16	dens of production and persuasion.".
17	(4) Federal employees.—Section 15 of such
18	Act (29 U.S.C. 633a) is amended by adding at the
19	end the following:
20	"(h) Sections $4(g)$ and $7(b)(3)$ shall apply to mixed
21	motive claims (involving practices described in section
22	4(g)(1)) under this section.".
23	(b) TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF
24	1964.—

(1) CLARIFYING PROHIBITION AGAINST IMPER MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF RACE, COLOR, RELI GION, SEX, OR NATIONAL ORIGIN IN EMPLOYMENT
 PRACTICES.—Section 703 of the Civil Rights Act of
 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e-2) is amended by striking
 subsection (m) and inserting the following:

"(m) Except as otherwise provided in this title, an
unlawful employment practice is established under this
title when the complaining party demonstrates that race,
color, religion, sex, or national origin or an activity protected by section 704(a) was a motivating factor for any
employment practice, even though other factors also motivated the practice.".

14 (2) FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.—Section 717 of
15 such Act (42 U.S.C. 2000e–16) is amended by add16 ing at the end the following:

17 "(g) Sections 703(m) and 706(g)(2)(B) shall apply
18 to mixed motive cases (involving practices described in sec19 tion 703(m)) under this section.".

20 (c) AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990.—
21 (1) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of the Ameri22 cans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C.
23 12111) is amended by adding at the end the fol24 lowing:

7

"(11) DEMONSTRATES.—The term 'demon strates' means meets the burdens of production and
 persuasion.".

4 (2) CLARIFYING PROHIBITION AGAINST IMPER5 MISSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF DISABILITY IN EM6 PLOYMENT PRACTICES.—Section 102 of such Act
7 (42 U.S.C. 12112) is amended by adding at the end
8 the following:

9 "(e) Proof.—

10 "(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Except as otherwise 11 provided in this Act, a discriminatory practice is es-12 tablished under this Act when the complaining party 13 demonstrates that disability or an activity protected 14 by subsection (a) or (b) of section 503 was a moti-15 vating factor for any employment practice, even 16 though other factors also motivated the practice.

17 "(2) DEMONSTRATION.—In establishing a dis18 criminatory practice under paragraph (1) or by any
19 other method of proof, a complaining party—

20 "(A) may rely on any type or form of ad21 missible evidence and need only produce evi22 dence sufficient for a reasonable trier of fact to
23 find that a discriminatory practice occurred
24 under this Act; and

1	"(B) shall not be required to demonstrate
2	that disability or an activity protected by sub-
3	section (a) or (b) of section 503 was the sole
4	cause of an employment practice.".
5	(3) CERTAIN ANTIRETALIATION CLAIMS.—Sec-
6	tion 503(c) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 12203(c)) is
7	amended—
8	(A) by striking "The remedies" and insert-
9	ing the following:
10	"(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
11	graph (2), the remedies"; and
12	(B) by adding at the end the following:
13	"(2) CERTAIN ANTIRETALIATION CLAIMS.—Sec-
14	tion 107(c) shall apply to claims under section
15	102(e)(1) with respect to title I.".
16	(4) Remedies.—Section 107 of such Act (42)
17	U.S.C. 12117) is amended by adding at the end the
18	following:
19	"(c) Discriminatory Motivating Factor.—On a
20	claim in which an individual demonstrates that disability
21	was a motivating factor for any employment practice,
22	under section $102(e)(1)$, and a respondent demonstrates
23	that the respondent would have taken the same action in
24	the absence of the impermissible motivating factor, the
25	court—

1	"(1) may grant declaratory relief, injunctive re-
2	
2	lief (except as provided in paragraph (2)), and attor-
3	ney's fees and costs demonstrated to be directly at-
4	tributable only to the pursuit of a claim under sec-
5	tion $102(e)(1)$; and
6	"(2) shall not award damages or issue an order
7	requiring any admission, reinstatement, hiring, pro-
8	motion, or payment.".
9	(d) Rehabilitation Act of 1973.—
10	(1) IN GENERAL.—Sections 501(f), 503(d), and
11	504(d) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C.
12	791(f), $793(d)$, and $794(d)$), are each amended by
13	adding after the words "title I of the Americans
14	with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12111 et
15	seq.)" the following: ", including the standards of
16	causation or methods of proof applied under section
17	102(e) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 12112(e)),".
18	(2) FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.—The amendment
19	made by paragraph (1) to section $501(f)$ shall be
20	construed to apply to all employees covered by sec-
21	tion 501.

1 SEC. 4. APPLICATION.

2 This Act, and the amendments made by this Act,3 shall apply to all claims pending on or after the date of4 enactment of this Act.

 \bigcirc