C	$\sqsubseteq$	2	$\cap$	2	1
$\sim$	J	.)	U	J)	

## SENATE BILL 6268

State of Washington 66th Legislature 2020 Regular Session

By Senators Rolfes, Kuderer, Wellman, and Darneille

- AN ACT Relating to abusive litigation; amending RCW 26.09.191 and
- 2 26.50.060; adding a new chapter to Title 26 RCW; and creating a new
- 3 section.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. legislature recognizes The 6 individuals who abuse their intimate partners often misuse court 7 proceedings in order to control, harass, intimidate, coerce, and/or impoverish the abused partner. Court proceedings can provide a means 8 for an abuser to exert and reestablish power and control over a 9 10 domestic violence survivor long after a relationship has ended. The 11 legal system unwittingly becomes another avenue that abusers exploit 12 to cause psychological, emotional, and financial devastation. This misuse of the court system by abusers has been referred to as legal 13 14 bullying, stalking through the courts, paper abuse, and similar 15 terms. The legislature finds that the term "abusive litigation" is 16 the most common term and that it accurately describes this problem. 17 Abusive litigation against domestic violence survivors arises in a 18 variety of contexts. Family law cases such as dissolutions, legal 19 separations, parenting plan actions or modifications, and protection 20 order proceedings are particularly common forums for litigation. It is also not uncommon for abusers to file civil 21

p. 1 SB 6268

lawsuits against survivors, such as defamation, tort, or breach of contract claims. Even if a lawsuit is meritless, forcing a survivor to spend time, money, and emotional resources responding to the action provides a means for the abuser to assert power and control over the survivor.

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1920

2122

2324

25

2627

28

3233

34

35

36

3738

39

The legislature finds that courts have considerable authority to respond to abusive litigation tactics, while upholding litigants' constitutional rights to access to the courts. Because courts have inherent authority to control the conduct of litigants, they have considerable discretion to fashion creative remedies in order to curb abusive litigation. The legislature intends to provide the courts with an additional tool to curb abusive litigation and to mitigate the harms abusive litigation perpetuates.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
- 17 (1) "Abusive litigation" means litigation where all of the 18 following apply:
  - (a) The opposing parties have a current or former intimate partner relationship;
  - (b) The party who is filing, initiating, advancing, or continuing the litigation has been found by a court to have committed domestic violence against the other party pursuant to: (i) An order entered under this chapter; (ii) a parenting plan with restrictions based on RCW 26.09.191(2)(a)(iii); or (iii) a restraining order entered under chapter 26.09, 26.26, or 26.26A RCW, provided that the issuing court made a specific finding that the restraining order was necessary due to domestic violence; and
- 29 (c) The litigation is being initiated, advanced, or continued 30 primarily for the purpose of harassing, intimidating, or maintaining 31 contact with the other party.
  - (2) "Intimate partner" is defined in RCW 26.50.010.
  - (3) "Litigation" means any kind of legal action or proceeding including, but not limited to: (i) Filing a summons, complaint, demand, or petition; (ii) serving a summons, complaint, demand, or petition, regardless of whether it has been filed; (iii) filing a motion, notice of court date, note for motion docket, or order to appear; (iv) serving a motion, notice of court date, note for motion docket, or order to appear, regardless of whether it has been filed

p. 2 SB 6268

- or scheduled; (v) filing a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request for interrogatories, request for production, notice of deposition, or other discovery request; or (vi) serving a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request for interrogatories, request for production, notice of deposition, or other discovery request.
- 6 (4) "Perpetrator of abusive litigation" means a person who files, 7 initiates, advances, or continues litigation in violation of an order 8 restricting abusive litigation.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** (1) A party to a case may request from the court an order restricting abusive litigation if the parties are current or former intimate partners and one party has been found by the court to have committed domestic violence against the other party:
- 14 (a) In any answer or response to the litigation being filed, 15 initiated, advanced, or continued;
- 16 (b) By motion made at any time during any open or ongoing case; 17 or
- 18 (c) By separate motion made under this chapter, within five years 19 of the entry of an order for protection even if the order has since 20 expired.

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

- (2) Any court of competent jurisdiction may, on its own motion, determine that a hearing pursuant to section 4 of this act is necessary to determine if a party is engaging in abusive litigation.
- (3) The administrative office of the courts shall update the instructions, brochures, standard petition, and order for protection forms, and create new forms for the motion for order restricting abusive litigation and order restricting abusive litigation, and update the court staff handbook when changes in the law make an update necessary.
- 30 (4) No filing fee may be charged for proceedings under this 31 section regardless of whether it is filed under this chapter or 32 another action in this title. Forms and instructional brochures shall 33 be provided free of charge.
- 34 (5) The provisions of this section are nonexclusive and do not affect any other remedy available.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. (1) If a party asserts that they are being subjected to abusive litigation, the court shall attempt to verify that the parties have or previously had an intimate partner

p. 3 SB 6268

- relationship and that the party raising the claim of abusive litigation has been found to be a victim of domestic violence by the other party. If the court verifies that both elements are true, or is unable to verify that they are not true, the court shall set a hearing to determine whether the litigation meets the definition of abusive litigation.
- 7 (2) At the time set for the hearing on the alleged abusive civil 8 action, the court shall hear all relevant testimony and may require 9 any affidavits, documentary evidence, or other records the court deems necessary.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. At the hearing conducted pursuant to section 4 of this act, evidence of any of the following creates a rebuttable presumption that litigation is being initiated, advanced, or continued primarily for the purpose of harassing, intimidating, or maintaining contact with the other party:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

- (1) The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially similar parties have been litigated within the past five years in the same court or any other court of competent jurisdiction; or
- (2) The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially similar parties have been raised, plead, or alleged in the past five years and were dismissed on the merits or with prejudice; or
- (3) Within the last ten years, the party allegedly engaging in abusive litigation has been sanctioned under superior court civil rule 11 or a similar rule or law in another jurisdiction for filing one or more cases, petitions, motions, or other filings, that were found to have been frivolous, vexatious, intransigent, or brought in bad faith involving the same opposing party; or
- 30 (4) A court of record in another judicial district has determined 31 that the party allegedly engaging in abusive litigation has 32 previously engaged in abusive litigation or similar conduct and has 33 been subject to a court order imposing prefiling restrictions.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. (1) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that a party is engaging in abusive litigation, and that any or all of the motions or actions pending before the court are abusive litigation, the litigation shall be dismissed, denied, stricken, or resolved by other disposition with prejudice.

p. 4 SB 6268

(2) In addition to dismissal or denial of any pending abusive litigation within the jurisdiction of the court, the court shall enter an "order restricting abusive litigation." The order shall:

- (a) Impose all costs of any abusive civil action pending in the court at the time of the court's finding pursuant to subsection (1) of this section against the party advancing the abusive litigation;
- (b) Award the other party reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of responding to the abusive litigation including the cost of seeking the order restricting abusive litigation; and
- (c) Identify the party protected by the order and impose prefiling restrictions upon the party found to have engaged in abusive litigation for a period of not less than forty-eight months nor more than seventy-two months.
- (3) If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the litigation does not constitute abusive litigation, the court shall enter written findings and the litigation shall proceed. Nothing in this section or chapter shall be construed as limiting the court's inherent authority to control the proceedings and litigants before it.
- 20 (4) The provisions of this section are nonexclusive and do not 21 affect any other remedy available to the person who is protected by 22 the order restricting abusive litigation or to the court.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. (1) Except as provided in this section, a person who is subject to an order restricting abusive litigation is prohibited from filing, initiating, advancing, or continuing the litigation against the protected party for the period of time the filing restrictions are in effect.
  - (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this section and consistent with the state Constitution, a person who is subject to an order restricting abusive litigation may seek permission to file a new case or a motion in an existing case using the procedure set out in subsection (3) of this section.
  - (3) (a) A person who is subject to an order restricting litigation against whom prefiling restrictions have been imposed pursuant to this chapter who wishes to initiate a new case or file a motion in an existing case during the time the person is under filing restrictions must first appear before the judicial officer who imposed the prefiling restrictions to make application for permission to institute the civil action.

p. 5 SB 6268

(b) (i) The judicial officer may examine witnesses, court records, and any other available evidence to determine if the proposed litigation is abusive litigation or if there are reasonable and legitimate grounds upon which the litigation is based.

- (ii) If the judicial officer determines the proposed litigation is abusive litigation, based on reviewing the records as well as any evidence from the person who is subject to the order, then it is not necessary for the person protected by the order to appear or participate in any way. If the judicial officer is unable to determine whether the proposed litigation is abusive without hearing from the person protected by the order, then the court shall issue an order scheduling a hearing, and notifying the protected party of the party's right to appear and/or participate in the hearing. The order should specify whether the protected party is expected to submit a written response. When possible, the protected party should be permitted to appear telephonically and provided instructions for how to appear telephonically.
- (c)(i) If the judicial officer believes the litigation that the party who is subject to the prefiling order is making application to file will constitute abusive litigation, the application shall be denied, dismissed, or otherwise disposed with prejudice.
- (ii) If the judicial officer reasonably believes that the litigation the party who is subject to the prefiling order is making application to file will not be abusive litigation, the judicial officer may grant the application and issue an order permitting the filing of the case, motion, or pleading. The order shall be attached to the front of the pleading to be filed with the clerk. The party who is protected by the order shall be served with a copy of the order at the same time as the underlying pleading.
- (d) The findings of the judicial officer shall be reduced to writing and made a part of the record in the matter. If the party who is subject to the order disputes the finding of the judge, the party may seek review of the decision to the presiding judge. If the judicial officer who denied the application is the presiding judge, the presiding judge shall select another judge in the judicial district to review the findings. If another judge in the judicial district is not available, the presiding judge may select a judge from an adjoining judicial district to review the findings. If the presiding judge, or the reviewing judge, believes that the pleading the person is making application to file is not abusive litigation,

p. 6 SB 6268

the findings of the judicial officer who denied the application are overruled and the presiding judge, or reviewing judge shall sign an order permitting the filing of the action. The order shall be entered and attached to the pleading and the protected party shall be served with a copy of the order at the same time the underlying pleading is served.

- (4) If the application for the filing of a pleading is granted pursuant to this section, the period of time commencing with the filing of the application requesting permission to file the action and ending with the issuance of an order permitting filing of the action shall not be computed as a part of any applicable period of limitations within which the matter must be instituted.
- (5) If, after a party who is subject to prefiling restrictions has made application and been granted permission to file or advance a case pursuant to this section, any judicial officer hearing or presiding over the case, or any part thereof, determines that the person is attempting to add parties, amend the complaint, or is otherwise attempting to alter the parties and issues involved in the litigation in a manner that the judicial officer reasonably believes would constitute abusive litigation, the judicial officer shall stay the proceedings and refer the case back to the judicial officer who granted the application to file, for further disposition.
- (6)(a) If a party who is protected by an order restricting abusive litigation is served with a pleading filed by the person who is subject to the order, and the pleading does not have an attached order allowing the pleading, the protected party may respond to the case by filing a copy of the order restricting abusive litigation.
- (b) If it is brought to the attention of the court that a person against whom prefiling restrictions have been imposed has filed a new case or is continuing an existing case without having been granted permission pursuant to this section, the court shall dismiss, deny, or otherwise dispose of the matter. This action may be taken by the court on the court's own motion or initiative. The court may take whatever action against the perpetrator of abusive litigation deemed necessary and appropriate for a violation of the order restricting abusive litigation.
- (c) If a party who is protected by an order restricting abusive litigation is served with a pleading filed by the person who is subject to the order, and the pleading does not have an attached order allowing the pleading, the protected party is under no

p. 7 SB 6268

- obligation or duty to respond to the summons, complaint, petition, motion, to answer interrogatories, to appear for depositions, or any other responsive action required by rule or statute in a civil action.
- 5 (7) If the judicial officer who imposed the prefiling 6 restrictions is no longer serving in the same capacity in the same 7 judicial district where the restrictions were placed, or is otherwise 8 unavailable for any reason, any other judicial officer in that 9 judicial district may perform the review required and permitted by this section.
- 11 **Sec. 8.** RCW 26.09.191 and 2019 c 46 s 5020 are each amended to 12 read as follows:

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

2930

31

- (1) The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (b) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; or (c) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(3) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that results in a pregnancy.
- (2) (a) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(3) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that results in a pregnancy; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
- 32 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 33 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 34 (d) of this subsection;
- 35 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 36 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 37 (d) of this subsection;

p. 8 SB 6268

- 1 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between 2 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 3 (d) of this subsection;
  - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
  - (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 6 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

5

- 7 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 8 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 9 under (d) of this subsection;
- 10 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 11 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 12 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
- (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited 18 if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged 19 in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern 20 21 of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic 22 violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(3) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or 23 24 that results in a pregnancy; or (iii) the person has been convicted 25 as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense 26 under:
- 27 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 28 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 29 (e) of this subsection;
- 30 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 31 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 32 (e) of this subsection;
- 33 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between 34 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 35 (e) of this subsection;
- 36 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 37 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 38 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

p. 9 SB 6268

- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;

2

3

5

7

8

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

- (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.

This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.

- (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted was at least five years older than the other person;
- 29 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 30 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 32 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at 33 least eight years older than the victim;
- 34 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 35 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 37 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;

p. 10 SB 6268

- 1 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
- (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides 4 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile 5 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through 6 7 (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the 8 9 convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with 10 11 the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence: 12
- 13 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted was at least five years older than the other person;
  - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 16 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 18 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at 19 least eight years older than the victim;
- 20 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;

26

27

2829

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
  - (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
    - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:
  - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or

p. 11 SB 6268

(ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

p. 12 SB 6268

(h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d) (i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor

p. 13 SB 6268

has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

3031

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.
- (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has

p. 14 SB 6268

supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of relevant counseling or treatment. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action

p. 15 SB 6268

to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

- (iii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to RCW 26.26A.465 to have committed sexual assault, as defined in RCW 26.26A.465, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault.
- (iv) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iv) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iv) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
- (3) A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors exist:

p. 16 SB 6268

1 (a) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of parenting functions;

3

4

5

7

8

25

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

40

- (b) A long-term emotional or physical impairment which interferes with the parent's performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004;
- (c) A long-term impairment resulting from drug, alcohol, or other substance abuse that interferes with the performance of parenting functions;
- 9 (d) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional ties 10 between the parent and the child;
- (e) The abusive use of conflict by the parent which creates the 11 12 danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development. Abusive use of conflict includes, but is not limited to, abusive 13 litigation as defined in section 2 of this act. If the court finds a 14 parent has engaged in abusive litigation, the court may impose any 15 restrictions or remedies set forth in chapter 26.--- RCW (the new 16 17 chapter created in section 10 of this act) in addition to including a finding in the parenting plan. Litigation that is aggressive or 18 19 improper but that does not meet the definition of abusive litigation shall not constitute a basis for a finding under this section. A 20 report made in good faith to law enforcement, a medical professional, 21 or child protective services of sexual, physical, or mental abuse of 22 a child shall not constitute a basis for a finding of abusive use of 23 24 conflict;
  - (f) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the child for a protracted period without good cause; or
  - (g) Such other factors or conduct as the court expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.
  - (4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child and the parties.
- 34 (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 35 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 36 plan.
- 37 (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this 38 section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of 39 evidence, proof, and procedure.
  - (7) For the purposes of this section:

p. 17 SB 6268

- 1 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild; and
- 3 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 4 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 5 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.
- 6 **Sec. 9.** RCW 26.50.060 and 2019 c 46 s 5038 are each amended to read as follows:
- 8 (1) Upon notice and after hearing, the court may provide relief 9 as follows:
- 10 (a) Restrain the respondent from committing acts of domestic 11 violence;
- 12 (b) Exclude the respondent from the dwelling that the parties 13 share, from the residence, workplace, or school of the petitioner, or 14 from the day care or school of a child;
- 15 (c) Prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or 16 knowingly remaining within, a specified distance from a specified 17 location;

19

20

21

2425

2627

28

2930

31

32

33

3435

- (d) On the same basis as is provided in chapter 26.09 RCW, the court shall make residential provision with regard to minor children of the parties. However, parenting plans as specified in chapter 26.09 RCW shall not be required under this chapter;
- 22 (e) Order the respondent to participate in a domestic violence 23 perpetrator treatment program approved under RCW 26.50.150;
  - (f) Order other relief as it deems necessary for the protection of the petitioner and other family or household members sought to be protected, including orders or directives to a peace officer, as allowed under this chapter;
  - (g) Require the respondent to pay the administrative court costs and service fees, as established by the county or municipality incurring the expense and to reimburse the petitioner for costs incurred in bringing the action, including reasonable attorneys' fees or limited license legal technician fees when such fees are incurred by a person licensed and practicing in accordance with the state supreme court's admission to practice rule 28, the limited practice rule for limited license legal technicians;
- 36 (h) Restrain the respondent from having any contact with the 37 victim of domestic violence or the victim's children or members of 38 the victim's household;

p. 18 SB 6268

- (i) Restrain the respondent from harassing, following, keeping under physical or electronic surveillance, cyberstalking as defined in RCW 9.61.260, and using telephonic, audiovisual, or other electronic means to monitor the actions, location, or communication of a victim of domestic violence, the victim's children, or members of the victim's household. For the purposes of this subsection, "communication" includes both "wire communication" and "electronic communication" as defined in RCW 9.73.260;
- (j) Require the respondent to submit to electronic monitoring. The order shall specify who shall provide the electronic monitoring services and the terms under which the monitoring must be performed. The order also may include a requirement that the respondent pay the costs of the monitoring. The court shall consider the ability of the respondent to pay for electronic monitoring;
  - (k) Consider the provisions of RCW 9.41.800;

- (1) Order possession and use of essential personal effects. The court shall list the essential personal effects with sufficient specificity to make it clear which property is included. Personal effects may include pets. The court may order that a petitioner be granted the exclusive custody or control of any pet owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, respondent, or minor child residing with either the petitioner or respondent and may prohibit the respondent from interfering with the petitioner's efforts to remove the pet. The court may also prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a specified distance of specified locations where the pet is regularly found; ((and))
  - (m) Order use of a vehicle; and
- (n) Enter an order restricting the respondent from engaging in abusive litigation as set forth in chapter 26.--- RCW (the new chapter created in section 10 of this act). A petitioner may request this relief in the petition or by separate motion. A petitioner may request this relief by separate motion at any time within five years of the date the order for protection is entered even if the order has since expired. A stand-alone motion for an order restricting abusive litigation may be brought by a party who meets the requirements of chapter 26.--- RCW (the new chapter created in section 10 of this act) regardless of whether the party has previously sought an order for protection under this chapter, provided the motion is made within five years of the date the order that made a finding of domestic

p. 19 SB 6268

violence was entered. In cases where a finding of domestic violence was entered pursuant to an order under chapter 26.09, 26.26, or 26.26A RCW, a motion for an order restricting abusive litigation may be brought under the family law case or as a stand-alone action filed under this chapter, when it is not reasonable or practical to file under the family law case.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

1819

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

(2) If a protection order restrains the respondent from contacting the respondent's minor children the restraint shall be for a fixed period not to exceed one year. This limitation is not applicable to orders for protection issued under chapter 26.09, 26.10, 26.26A, or 26.26B RCW. With regard to other relief, if the petitioner has petitioned for relief on his or her own behalf or on behalf of the petitioner's family or household members or minor children, and the court finds that the respondent is likely to resume acts of domestic violence against the petitioner or the petitioner's family or household members or minor children when the order expires, the court may either grant relief for a fixed period or enter a permanent order of protection.

If the petitioner has petitioned for relief on behalf of the respondent's minor children, the court shall advise the petitioner that if the petitioner wants to continue protection for a period beyond one year the petitioner may either petition for renewal pursuant to the provisions of this chapter or may seek relief pursuant to the provisions of chapter 26.09, 26.26A, or 26.26B RCW.

(3) If the court grants an order for a fixed time period, the petitioner may apply for renewal of the order by filing a petition for renewal at any time within the three months before the order expires. The petition for renewal shall state the reasons why the petitioner seeks to renew the protection order. Upon receipt of the petition for renewal the court shall order a hearing which shall be not later than fourteen days from the date of the order. Except as provided in RCW 26.50.085, personal service shall be made on the respondent not less than five days before the hearing. If timely service cannot be made the court shall set a new hearing date and shall either require additional attempts at obtaining personal service or permit service by publication as provided in RCW 26.50.085 or by mail as provided in RCW 26.50.123. If the court permits service by publication or mail, the court shall set the new hearing date not later than twenty-four days from the date of the order. If the order expires because timely service cannot be made the court shall grant

p. 20 SB 6268

an ex parte order of protection as provided in RCW 26.50.070. The 1 court shall grant the petition for renewal unless the respondent 2 proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the respondent will 3 not resume acts of domestic violence against the petitioner or the 4 petitioner's children or family or household members when the order 5 6 expires. The court may renew the protection order for another fixed 7 time period or may enter a permanent order as provided in this The court may award court costs, service fees, and 8 9 reasonable attorneys' fees as provided in subsection (1)(g) of this section. 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

2425

26

27

28

- (4) In providing relief under this chapter, the court may realign the designation of the parties as "petitioner" and "respondent" where the court finds that the original petitioner is the abuser and the original respondent is the victim of domestic violence and may issue an ex parte temporary order for protection in accordance with RCW 26.50.070 on behalf of the victim until the victim is able to prepare a petition for an order for protection in accordance with RCW 26.50.030.
- 19 (5) Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, no order for protection shall grant relief to any party except upon notice to the respondent and hearing pursuant to a petition or counter-petition filed and served by the party seeking relief in accordance with RCW 26.50.050.
  - (6) The court order shall specify the date the order expires if any. The court order shall also state whether the court issued the protection order following personal service, service by publication, or service by mail and whether the court has approved service by publication or mail of an order issued under this section.
- 29 (7) If the court declines to issue an order for protection or 30 declines to renew an order for protection, the court shall state in 31 writing on the order the particular reasons for the court's denial.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. Sections 1 through 7 of this act constitute a new chapter in Title 26 RCW.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. This act shall be construed liberally so as to effectuate the goal of protecting survivors of domestic violence from abusive litigation.

p. 21 SB 6268

NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other 4 persons or circumstances is not affected.

1

2

3

--- END ---

SB 6268 p. 22