

State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

2019 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 11

February 28, 2019 – Introduced by Representatives SUBECK, ANDERSON, ZAMARRIPA, C. TAYLOR, CROWLEY, KOLSTE, EMERSON, SHANKLAND, SPREITZER, POPE, VRUWINK, HESSELBEIN, SARGENT, DOYLE, BILLINGS, SINICKI, GOYKE and FIELDS, cosponsored by Senators HANSEN, RISSER, CARPENTER, SMITH, LARSON and RINGHAND. Referred to Committee on Campaigns and Elections.

1 **Relating to:** an advisory referendum on an amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In the case of *Citizens United v. F.E.C.* and related cases, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that corporations are "persons" for the purpose of political speech, thus allowing corporations to make unlimited expenditures in political campaigns. The U.S. Constitution grants Congress the power to propose amendments to the Constitution that become effective when ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the states. Amendments can have the effect of overturning—in effect repealing—prior decisions of the Supreme Court. This resolution places a question on the November 2020 ballot to ask the people if Congress should propose amendment to overturn *Citizens United v. F.E.C.* and related cases.

- 2 **Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That** the following
- 3 question be submitted, for advisory purposes only, to the voters of this state at the
- 4 general election to be held in November 2020:

5

- QUESTION 1: Overturning Citizens United. "The U.S. Supreme Court's
- 6 decisions in *Citizens United* and related cases allow unlimited spending to influence
- 7 local, state, and federal elections. To allow all Americans to have an equal say in our

democracy, shall Wisconsin's congressional delegation support, and the Wisconsin
 legislature ratify, an amendment to the U.S. Constitution stating:

3 1. Only human beings are endowed with constitutional rights—not
4 corporations, unions, nonprofit organizations, or other artificial entities, and

5 2. Money is not speech, and therefore limiting political contributions and 6 spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech?"

 $\mathbf{7}$

(END)